#### **News Media as Suppliers of Narratives (and Information)**

## Kfir Eliaz (TAU & NHH) $\times$ Ran Spiegler (TAU & UCL)

#### August 2024

"We're supposed to be tellers of tales as well as purveyors of facts.

When we don't live up to that responsibility, we don't get read."

(William E. Blundell)

## Introduction

- Standard view in economics: News media supply information
- A complementary view: News media spread narratives
  - Causal models that explain how outcomes are determined
- This paper: Fusion of the two views
- **Basic insight**: When news media maximize consumers' anticipatory utility, there is a **synergy** between **false narratives** and **biased information**.
- Time constraint  $\Rightarrow$  I present the model's basic version using an **example**.

## The "American Dream"

- Four variables that take values in {0,1}.
  - *a* A representative consumer's action (whether to invest)
  - *y* An outcome (whether an objective is attained)
  - *t* A state of Nature (returns to attained objective)
  - S A signal the consumer observes before taking the action
- The consumer's VNM utility is ty ca.
- $c \in (0,0.5)$  is a constant.

#### **Data-Generating Process**

• *p* - An objective distribution defined over the four variables

p(t, s, a, y) = p(t)p(s|t)p(a|s)p(y|t, a)



- p(t) and p(y|t, a) are exogenous.
- p(t = 1) = 0.5
- p(y = 1|t, a) = a(1 0.5t)

Success is less likely when returns are high.

#### **Data-Generating Process**

• *p* - An objective distribution defined over the four variables

p(t, s, a, y) = p(t)p(s|t)p(a|s)p(y|t, a)



- p(s|t) and p(a|s) are endogenous.
- $(p(s|t))_{t,s}$  is a Blackwell experiment chosen ex-ante by news media.
- $\sigma = (p(a|s))_{a,s}$  is the representative consumer's strategy.

- A monopolistic media firm commits **ex-ante** to a pair (*I*, *N*):
  - An information strategy I: A Blackwell experiment (p(s|t)).
  - A narrative N: A subset of the outcome's direct causes (a and t).

True (*N*\*):

Both *a* and *t* directly cause *y*.



- A monopolistic media firm commits **ex-ante** to a pair (*I*, *N*):
  - An information strategy I: A Blackwell experiment (p(s|t)).
  - A narrative N: A subset of the outcome's direct causes (a and t).

True  $(N^*)$ : Both *a* and *t* directly cause *y*.

Empowering  $(N^a)$ :

Only *a* directly causes *y*.



- A monopolistic media firm commits **ex-ante** to a pair (*I*, *N*):
  - An information strategy I: A Blackwell experiment (p(s|t)).
  - A narrative N: A subset of the outcome's direct causes (a and t).

True ( <i>N</i> *):	Both $a$ and $t$ directly cause $y$ .	
Empowering ( <i>N<sup>a</sup></i> ):	Only <i>a</i> directly causes <i>y</i> .	<i>S</i> ←
Fatalistic (N <sup>t</sup> ):	Only <i>t</i> directly causes <i>y</i> .	↓ <i>a</i>

t

V

- A monopolistic media firm commits **ex-ante** to a pair (*I*, *N*):
  - An information strategy I: A Blackwell experiment (p(s|t)).
  - A narrative N: A subset of the outcome's direct causes (a and t).

True ( <i>N</i> *):	Both $a$ and $t$ directly cause $y$ .	
Empowering ( <i>N<sup>a</sup></i> ):	Only <i>a</i> directly causes <i>y</i> .	$s \longleftarrow t$
Fatalistic (N <sup>t</sup> ):	Only <i>t</i> directly causes <i>y</i> .	$a \longrightarrow y$
Denial ( <mark>N</mark> <sup>Ø</sup> ):	Neither $a$ nor $t$ directly cause $y$ .	

#### **Consumer Beliefs**

• Under (I, N), the consumer's conditional belief over t, y given the signal sand the action a is  $p(t|s)p_N(y|t, a)$ .

True (N\*): $p_{N^*}(y|t,a) = p(y|t,a)$ Empowering (N^a): $p_{N^a}(y|t,a) = p(y|a)$ Fatalistic (N<sup>t</sup>): $p_{N^t}(y|t,a) = p(y|t)$ Denial (N<sup>Ø</sup>): $p_{N^{\emptyset}}(y|t,a) = p(y)$ 

• When  $N \neq N^*$ , beliefs are **not invariant** to consumer strategy (p(a|s)).

# Equilibrium

**<u>Definition</u>**: Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Given (I, N), a strategy  $\sigma$  with full support is an

**\varepsilon-equilibrium** if, whenever  $\sigma(a|s) > \varepsilon$ , a maximizes the consumer's

subjective expected utility:

$$\sum_{t,y} p(t|s) p_N(y|t,a) ty - ca$$

A strategy is an **equilibrium** if it is a limit of a sequence of  $\varepsilon$ -equilibria,

 $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$ 

#### The Media's Problem

**Program**: Choose (I, N) and equilibrium  $\sigma$  to maximize anticipatory utility

$$U(I,N) = \sum_{s} p(s) \sum_{a} \sigma(a|s) \sum_{t,y} p(t|s) p_{N}(y|t,a)(ty-ca)$$

$$\uparrow$$

$$contains p(t|s) \text{ if } N \text{ is false}$$

- Anticipatory utility: Motivated reasoning drives news-media demand.
- **Technical twist**: Given s, a, the expression U(I, N) is non-linear in p(t|s).

## **Preliminary observations**

**Observation 1 (RE benchmark):** *If the media is restricted to the true narrative* 

*N*<sup>\*</sup>, it will provide full information.

#### **Observation 2 (Revelation principle):** Without loss of optimality, signals are

action recommendations; we can focus on equilibria in which  $a \equiv s$ .

#### Can a False Narrative Beat the True one?

- The fatalistic and denial narratives induce **zero** anticipatory utility in equilibrium (the consumer always plays a = 0).
- Let's consider the empowering narrative  $N^a$ .
- By the revelation principle,  $a \equiv s$  in equilibrium.
- Since p(y = 1 | a = 0) = 0, the consumer's subjective payoff

conditional on s = 0 is zero.

$$u(t, a, y) = ty - ca$$
  $p(t = 1) = 0.5$   $p(y = 1|t, a) = a(1 - 0.5t)$ 

#### The Empowering Narrative N<sup>a</sup>

Anticipatory payoff: p(s = 1)[p(t = 1|s = 1)p(y = 1|a = 1) - c]

Implicitly contains p(t=1 | s=1)

The optimal Blackwell experiment (biased information):

$$p(s = 1|t = 1) = 1$$
  $p(s = 1|t = 0) = min\{1, \sqrt{1/2c} - 1\}$ 

The maximal anticipatory utility **exceeds the RE benchmark**.

#### Discussion

- The "American dream": A narrative that outcomes are only driven by personal effort, over-reporting of success stories.
- **Synergy**: Neither element of the media's strategy works without the other.
- Under full information, *a* and *t* are perfectly (positively) correlated.
  - Neglecting t as a cause of y has no effect on beliefs.
- Introducing bias blurs the correlation between *a* and *t*:
  - Neglecting *t* as a cause of *y* becomes meaningful for beliefs.
  - Neglecting the negative effect of *t* on *y*

## So What's in the Paper?

- Characterization results that generalize the "American Dream" example
- Heterogeneous consumers: A monopolistic screening problem
  - A novel "data externality" among preference types
  - Belief polarization driven entirely by narratives
- A competitive market (firms don't internalize the data externality)
- Basic model with other separable utility functions
- Introducing rational consumers into the population

#### Some Related Literature

- Background: Spiegler 2016,2020 (causal misperceptions), Eliaz-Spiegler 2020,
   Levy et al. 2021, Eliaz et al. 2022 (false narratives in politics)
- Non-instrumental demand for news (evidence & models) Hart et al. 2009,
   Van der Meer et al. 2020, Mullainathan-Shleifer 2005, Taber-Lodge 2006,
   Gentzkow et al. 2015, Herrera-Sethi 2024.
- Information provision and anticipatory utility: Caplin-Leahy 2000, Eliaz-Spiegler 2006, Lipnowski-Mathevet 2018
- Persuasion using "data" or "models": Eliaz et al. 2021(a,b), Schwartzstein-

Sunderam 2021, Aina 2023



# **THANK YOU!**