#### <span id="page-0-0"></span>Jean-Guillaume Sahuc1*,* <sup>2</sup> Frank Smets3*,* <sup>4</sup> Gauthier Vermandel5*,* <sup>6</sup>*,* <sup>1</sup>

Banque de France Université Paris-Nanterre European Central Bank Ghent University CMAP, Ecole polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris. LEDa, Paris-Dauphine and PSL Universities.

– EEA, August 2024 –

### <span id="page-1-0"></span>**INTRODUCTION**

- ▶ Climate change will change the macroeconomic landscape in the next decades and the central bank will have to face 2 phenomena [\[Schnabel 2022\]](#page-37-0):
	- ▶ On the one hand, a warming planet causes damages that will make resources scarcer  $\&$  prices higher  $\rightarrow$  **climateflation**.
	- $\triangleright$  On the other hand, the fight against climate change (through increasing carbon taxes) will make fossil fuels & raw materials more expensive  $\rightarrow$  **greenflation**.
- ▶ How should the central bank conduct monetary policy in this new landscape?
- Answering this question requires to understand the effects of climate change on the economy.

#### THIS PAPER

- ▶ The canonical New Keynesian model is silent on climate developments.
- This paper develops The New Keynesian Climate (NKC) model by:
	- ▶ extending the canonical model with a carbon accumulation constraint and a mitigation policy from the Integrated Assessment Model (IAM) literature;
	- ▶ estimating this model for the world economy with techniques that take into account nonlinearities resulting from climate change;
	- ▶ providing projections up to horizon 2100 under mitigation versus *laissez-faire* policy by changing an exogenous carbon tax rate.
- ▶ This allows us to analyze the impact of climate change on inflation and monetary policy.

### Methodological breakthrough

- Standard view: stable propagation mechanism with fluctuations naturally decaying over time back to a steady state.
- Climate problem: the way carbon emissions cumulate over time permanently changes the propagation patterns  $\rightarrow$  no steady state.
- ▶ We solve our nonlinear model taking into account both long and short term effects using the [Fair and Taylor \(1983\)](#page-37-1)'s extended path solution method.
- ▶ We estimate the model using Bayesian nonlinear techniques based on the inversion filter from [Fair and Taylor \(1983\)](#page-37-1).

# **OUTLINE**

[Introduction](#page-1-0)

[The NKC model](#page-5-0)

[Estimation](#page-16-0)

[The Anatomy of Green/Climateflation](#page-21-0)

[Conclusion](#page-34-0)

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

[Introduction](#page-1-0)

[The NKC model](#page-5-0)

[Estimation](#page-16-0)

[The Anatomy of Green/Climateflation](#page-21-0)

[Conclusion](#page-34-0)

Carbon accumulation and its damages:

**IS:** 
$$
\left(\frac{\tilde{y}_t x_t - \omega d}{1 - \omega}\right)^{-\sigma_c} = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \frac{\varepsilon_{b,t+1}}{\varepsilon_{b,t}} \frac{r_t}{\pi_{t+1}} \left( (1 - \omega) \left( \frac{x_{t+1} \tilde{y}_{t+1} - \omega d}{1 - \omega} \right)^{-\sigma_c} + \omega d^{-\sigma_c} \right)
$$
  
 $x_t = 1 - 0.5 \kappa (\pi_t - \pi_t^*)^2 (1 - \vartheta) - \vartheta (1 - \varepsilon_{p,t} m c_t)$ 

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\mathbf{PC:} & \left(\pi_t - \pi_t^*\right)\pi_t & = & \left(1 - \vartheta\right)\beta \mathbb{E}_t \ g_{z,t}\tilde{y}_{t+1}/\tilde{y}_t \left(\pi_{t+1} - \pi_{t+1}^*\right)\pi_{t+1} + \zeta \kappa^{-1}\varepsilon_{p,t}mc_t + \kappa^{-1}\left(1 - \zeta\right) \\
& mc_t & = & \psi \left(x_t\tilde{y}_t - \omega d\right)^{\sigma_c} \tilde{y}_t^{\sigma_n} \ \Phi\left(\tilde{m}_t\right)^{-(1+\sigma_n)}\n\end{array}
$$

**MP:**  $r_t = r_{t-1}^{\rho} \left[ r_r \left( \pi_t^* / \pi \right) \left( \pi_t / \pi_t^* \right)^{\phi_{\pi}} \left( \tilde{y}_t / \tilde{y}_t^n \right)^{\phi_y} \right]^{1-\rho} \varepsilon_{r,t}$ 

**CC:**  $\tilde{m}_t = (1 - \delta_m) \tilde{m}_{t-1} + \xi_m \sigma_t z_t l_t \tilde{y}_t \varepsilon_{e,t}$ 

Carbon accumulation and its damages:

**IS:** 
$$
\left(\frac{\tilde{y}_t x_t - \omega d}{1 - \omega}\right)^{-\sigma_c} = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \frac{\varepsilon_{b,t+1}}{\varepsilon_{b,t}} \frac{r_t}{\pi_{t+1}} \left( (1 - \omega) \left( \frac{x_{t+1} \tilde{y}_{t+1} - \omega d}{1 - \omega} \right)^{-\sigma_c} + \omega d^{-\sigma_c} \right)
$$
  
 $x_t = 1 - 0.5 \kappa (\pi_t - \pi_t^*)^2 (1 - \vartheta) - \vartheta (1 - \varepsilon_{p,t} m c_t)$ 

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\mathbf{PC:} & \left(\pi_t - \pi_t^*\right)\pi_t & = & \left(1 - \vartheta\right)\beta \mathbb{E}_t \ g_{z,t}\tilde{y}_{t+1}/\tilde{y}_t \left(\pi_{t+1} - \pi_{t+1}^*\right)\pi_{t+1} + \zeta \kappa^{-1}\varepsilon_{p,t}mc_t + \kappa^{-1}\left(1 - \zeta\right) \\
& mc_t & = & \psi \left(x_t\tilde{y}_t - \omega d\right)^{\sigma_c} \tilde{y}_t^{\sigma_n} \ \Phi\left(\tilde{m}_t\right)^{-(1+\sigma_n)}\n\end{array}
$$

$$
\mathbf{MP:} \qquad \qquad r_t \quad = \quad r_{t-1}^{\rho} \left[ r_r \left( \pi_t^* / \pi \right) \left( \pi_t / \pi_t^* \right)^{\phi_{\pi}} \left( \tilde{y}_t / \tilde{y}_t^n \right)^{\phi_y} \right]^{1-\rho} \varepsilon_{r,t}
$$

**CC:**  $\tilde{m}_t = (1 - \delta_m) \tilde{m}_{t-1} + \xi_m \sigma_t z_t \, l_t \, \tilde{y}_t \, \varepsilon_{e,t}$ **Anthropogenic carbon stock**

Carbon accumulation and its damages:

**IS:** 
$$
\left(\frac{\tilde{y}_t x_t - \omega d}{1 - \omega}\right)^{-\sigma_c} = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \frac{\varepsilon_{b,t+1}}{\varepsilon_{b,t}} \frac{r_t}{\pi_{t+1}} \left( (1 - \omega) \left( \frac{x_{t+1} \tilde{y}_{t+1} - \omega d}{1 - \omega} \right)^{-\sigma_c} + \omega d^{-\sigma_c} \right)
$$
  
 $x_t = 1 - 0.5 \kappa (\pi_t - \pi_t^*)^2 (1 - \vartheta) - \vartheta (1 - \varepsilon_{p,t} mc_t)$ 

PC: 
$$
(\pi_t - \pi_t^*) \pi_t = (1 - \vartheta) \beta \mathbb{E}_t g_{z,t} \tilde{y}_{t+1} / \tilde{y}_t (\pi_{t+1} - \pi_{t+1}^*) \pi_{t+1} + \zeta \kappa^{-1} \varepsilon_{p,t} mc_t + \kappa^{-1} (1 - \zeta)
$$
  
\n $mc_t = \psi (x_t \tilde{y}_t - \omega d)^{\sigma_c} \tilde{y}_t^{\sigma_n} \Phi (\tilde{m}_t)^{-(1 + \sigma_n)}$   
\n**ME:**  
\n $r_t = r_{t-1}^{\rho} \underbrace{\text{Decoupling trend}}_{\omega_t / \tilde{y}_t} \tilde{y}_t / \tilde{y}_t^{n})^{\phi_y}]^{1-\rho} \varepsilon_{r,t}$   
\nCC:  $\tilde{m}_t = (1 - \delta_m) \tilde{m}_{t-1} + \xi_m \sigma_t z_t l_t \tilde{y}_t \varepsilon_{e,t}$   
\n**Anthropogenic carbon stock**

# The New Keynesian Climate Model

Carbon accumulation and its damages:

**IS:** 
$$
\left(\frac{\tilde{y}_t x_t - \omega d}{1 - \omega}\right)^{-\sigma_c} = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \frac{\varepsilon_{b,t+1}}{\varepsilon_{b,t}} \frac{r_t}{\pi_{t+1}} \left( (1 - \omega) \left( \frac{x_{t+1} \tilde{y}_{t+1} - \omega d}{1 - \omega} \right)^{-\sigma_c} + \omega d^{-\sigma_c} \right)
$$
  
 $x_t = 1 - 0.5 \kappa (\pi_t - \pi_t^*)^2 (1 - \vartheta) - \vartheta (1 - \varepsilon_{p,t} mc_t)$ 



[The New Keynesian Climate model](#page-0-0) 7 / 23

# The New Keynesian Climate Model

Carbon accumulation and its damages:

**IS:** 
$$
\left(\frac{\tilde{y}_t x_t - \omega d}{1 - \omega}\right)^{-\sigma_c} = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \frac{\varepsilon_{b,t+1}}{\varepsilon_{b,t}} \frac{r_t}{\pi_{t+1}} \left( (1 - \omega) \left( \frac{x_{t+1} \tilde{y}_{t+1} - \omega d}{1 - \omega} \right)^{-\sigma_c} + \omega d^{-\sigma_c} \right)
$$
  
 $x_t = 1 - 0.5 \kappa (\pi_t - \pi_t^*)^2 (1 - \vartheta) - \vartheta (1 - \varepsilon_{p,t} mc_t)$ 



[The New Keynesian Climate model](#page-0-0) 7 / 23

# The New Keynesian Climate Model

Carbon accumulation and its damages:

**IS:** 
$$
\left(\frac{\tilde{y}_t x_t - \omega d}{1 - \omega}\right)^{-\sigma_c} = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \frac{\varepsilon_{b,t+1}}{\varepsilon_{b,t}} \frac{r_t}{\pi_{t+1}} \left( (1 - \omega) \left( \frac{x_{t+1} \tilde{y}_{t+1} - \omega d}{1 - \omega} \right)^{-\sigma_c} + \omega d^{-\sigma_c} \right)
$$
  
 $x_t = 1 - 0.5\kappa (\pi_t - \pi_t^*)^2 (1 - \vartheta) - \vartheta (1 - \varepsilon_{p,t} mc_t)$ 



[The New Keynesian Climate model](#page-0-0) 7 / 23

Carbon accumulation and its damages:

**IS:** 
$$
\left(\frac{\tilde{y}_t x_t - \omega d}{1 - \omega}\right)^{-\sigma_c} = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \frac{\varepsilon_{b,t+1}}{\varepsilon_{b,t}} \frac{r_t}{\pi_{t+1}} \left( (1 - \omega) \left( \frac{x_{t+1} \tilde{y}_{t+1} - \omega d}{1 - \omega} \right)^{-\sigma_c} + \omega d^{-\sigma_c} \right)
$$
  
 $x_t = 1 - (1 - \vartheta) 0.5 \kappa (\pi_t - \pi_t^*)^2 - \vartheta (1 - \varepsilon_{p,t} mc_t)$ 

PC: 
$$
(\pi_t - \pi_t^*) \pi_t = (1 - \vartheta) \beta \mathbb{E}_t g_{z,t} \tilde{y}_{t+1} / \tilde{y}_t (\pi_{t+1} - \pi_{t+1}^*) \pi_{t+1} + \zeta \kappa^{-1} \varepsilon_{p,t} mc_t + \kappa^{-1} (1 - \zeta)
$$
  
\n
$$
mc_t = \psi (x_t \tilde{y}_t - \omega d)^{\sigma_c} \tilde{y}_t^{\sigma_n} \Phi (\tilde{m}_t)^{-(1 + \sigma_n)} \leftarrow \text{Climate damages}
$$

$$
\mathbf{MP:} \qquad \qquad r_t = r_{t-1}^{\rho} \left[ r_r \left( \pi_t^* / \pi \right) \left( \pi_t / \pi_t^* \right)^{\phi_{\pi}} \left( \tilde{y}_t / \tilde{y}_t^n \right)^{\phi_y} \right]^{1-\rho} \varepsilon_{r,t}
$$

CC: 
$$
\tilde{m}_t = (1 - \delta_m)\tilde{m}_{t-1} + \xi_m \sigma_t z_t l_t \tilde{y}_t \varepsilon_{e,t}
$$

Mitigation policies as function of exogenous carbon tax  $\tilde{\tau}_t$ :

**IS:** 
$$
\left(\frac{\tilde{y}_t x_t - \omega d}{1 - \omega}\right)^{-\sigma_c} = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \frac{\varepsilon_{b,t+1}}{\varepsilon_{b,t}} \frac{r_t}{\pi_{t+1}} \left( (1 - \omega) \left( \frac{x_{t+1} \tilde{y}_{t+1} - \omega d}{1 - \omega} \right)^{-\sigma_c} + \omega d^{-\sigma_c} \right)
$$
 **expenditures**  
 $x_t = 1 - (1 - \vartheta) 0.5 \kappa (\pi_t - \pi_t^*)^2 - \vartheta (1 - \varepsilon_{p,t} mc_t) - \theta_{1,t} \tilde{r}_t^{\theta_2/(\theta_2 - 1)}$ 

PC: 
$$
(\pi_t - \pi_t^*) \pi_t = (1 - \vartheta) \beta \mathbb{E}_t g_{z,t} \tilde{y}_{t+1} / \tilde{y}_t (\pi_{t+1} - \pi_{t+1}^*) \pi_{t+1} + \zeta \kappa^{-1} \varepsilon_{p,t} mc_t + \kappa^{-1} (1 - \zeta)
$$
  
\n
$$
mc_t = \psi (x_t \tilde{y}_t - \omega d)^{\sigma_c} \tilde{y}_t^{\sigma_n} \Phi (\tilde{m}_t)^{-(1 + \sigma_n)} + \theta_{1,t} \tilde{\tau}_t (\theta_2 + (1 - \theta_2) \tilde{\tau}_t^{1/(\theta_2 - 1)})
$$

$$
\mathbf{MP:} \qquad \qquad r_t = r_{t-1}^{\rho} \left[ r_r \left( \pi_t^* / \pi \right) \left( \pi_t / \pi_t^* \right)^{\phi_{\pi}} \left( \tilde{y}_t / \tilde{y}_t^n \right)^{\phi_y} \right]^{1-\rho} \varepsilon_{r,t}
$$

**CC:**  $\tilde{m}_t = (1 - \delta_m) \tilde{m}_{t-1} + \xi_m \sigma_t z_t l_t \tilde{y}_t \varepsilon_{e,t} (1 - \tilde{\tau}_t^{1/(\theta_2 - 1)})$ 

**Mitigation**

Mitigation policies as function of exogenous carbon tax  $\tilde{\tau}_t$ :

**1S:** 
$$
\left(\frac{\tilde{y}_{t}x_{t}-\omega d}{1-\omega}\right)^{-\sigma_{c}} = \beta \mathbb{E}_{t} \frac{\varepsilon_{b,t+1}}{\varepsilon_{b,t}} \frac{r_{t}}{\pi_{t+1}} \left( (1-\omega) \left( \frac{x_{t+1}\tilde{y}_{t+1}-\omega d}{1-\omega} \right)^{-\sigma_{c}} + \omega d^{-\sigma_{c}} \right)
$$
  
\n*ext* = 1 – (1 –  $\vartheta$ )0.5 $\kappa$  ( $\pi_{t} - \pi_{t}^{*}$ )<sup>2</sup> –  $\vartheta$ (1 –  $\varepsilon_{p,t}mc_{t}$ ) –  $\theta_{1,t}\tilde{\tau}_{t}^{\theta_{2}/(\theta_{2}-1)}$   
\n**Carbon tax costs**  
\n**PC:**  $(\pi_{t} - \pi_{t}^{*}) \pi_{t} = (1-\vartheta)\beta \mathbb{E}_{t} g_{z,t}\tilde{y}_{t+1}/\tilde{y}_{t} (\pi_{t+1} - \pi_{t+1}^{*}) \pi_{t+1} + \zeta \kappa^{-1} \varepsilon_{p,t}mc_{t} + \kappa^{-1}(1-\zeta)$   
\n*mc* =  $\psi$  ( $x_{t}\tilde{y}_{t} - \omega d$ ) <sup>$\sigma_{c}$</sup>   $\tilde{y}_{t}^{\sigma_{n}}$   $\Phi$  ( $\tilde{m}_{t}$ )<sup>-(1+ $\sigma_{n}$ ) +  $\theta_{1,t}\tilde{\tau}_{t}$  ( $\theta_{2} + (1-\theta_{2})\tilde{\tau}_{t}^{1/(\theta_{2}-1)}$ )  
\n**MP:**  $r_{t} = r_{t-1}^{\rho} \left[ r_{r} (\pi_{t}^{*}/\pi) (\pi_{t}/\pi_{t}^{*})^{\phi_{\pi}} (\tilde{y}_{t}/\tilde{y}_{t}^{\eta})^{\phi_{y}} \right]^{1-\rho} \varepsilon_{r,t}$</sup> 

**CC:**  $\tilde{m}_t = (1 - \delta_m) \tilde{m}_{t-1} + \xi_m \sigma_t z_t l_t \tilde{y}_t \varepsilon_{e,t} (1 - \tilde{\tau}_t^{1/(\theta_2 - 1)})$ 

Mitigation policies as function of exogenous carbon tax  $\tilde{\tau}_t$ :

**1S:** 
$$
\left(\frac{\tilde{y}_{t}x_{t}-\omega d}{1-\omega}\right)^{-\sigma_{c}} = \beta \mathbb{E}_{t} \frac{\varepsilon_{b,t+1}}{\varepsilon_{b,t}} \frac{r_{t}}{\pi_{t+1}} \left( (1-\omega) \left( \frac{x_{t+1}\tilde{y}_{t+1}-\omega d}{1-\omega} \right)^{-\sigma_{c}} + \omega d^{-\sigma_{c}} \right)
$$
  
\n*ext* =  $1 - (1-\vartheta)0.5\kappa (\pi_{t} - \pi_{t}^{*})^{2} - \vartheta (1-\varepsilon_{p,t}mc_{t}) - \theta_{1,t}\tilde{\tau}_{t}^{\theta_{2}/(\theta_{2}-1)}$   
\n**1** Consider the  $(1-\vartheta) \beta \mathbb{E}_{t} g_{z,t}\tilde{y}_{t+1}/\tilde{y}_{t} (\pi_{t+1} - \pi_{t+1}^{*}) \pi_{t+1} + \zeta \kappa^{-1} \varepsilon_{p,t}mc_{t} + \kappa^{-1} (1-\zeta)$   
\n*ext* =  $\psi (x_{t}\tilde{y}_{t} - \omega d)^{\sigma_{c}} \tilde{y}_{t}^{\sigma_{n}} \Phi (\tilde{m}_{t})^{-(1+\sigma_{n})} + \theta_{1,t}\tilde{\tau}_{t} (\theta_{2} + (1-\theta_{2})\tilde{\tau}_{t}^{1/(\theta_{2}-1)})$   
\n**MP:**  $r_{t} = r_{t-1}^{\rho} \left[ r_{r} (\pi_{t}^{*}/\pi) (\pi_{t}/\pi_{t}^{*})^{\phi_{\pi}} (\tilde{y}_{t}/\tilde{y}_{t}^{n})^{\phi_{y}} \right]^{1-\rho} \varepsilon_{r,t}$   
\n**Abatement**  
\n**CC:**  $\tilde{m}_{t} = (1-\delta_{m})\tilde{m}_{t-1} + \xi_{m} \sigma_{t} z_{t} l_{t} \tilde{y}_{t} \varepsilon_{e,t} (1-\tilde{\tau}_{t}^{1/(\theta_{2}-1)})$ 

<span id="page-16-0"></span>

[Introduction](#page-1-0)

[The NKC model](#page-5-0)

#### [Estimation](#page-16-0)

[The Anatomy of Green/Climateflation](#page-21-0)

#### [Conclusion](#page-34-0)

#### **ESTIMATION**

▶ Estimation on world data from 1985Q1 to 2023Q3 (sources: World Bank, OECD and OurWorldInData).

▶ There are four observable variables:

 $\sqrt{ }$  $\overline{1}$  $\overline{1}$  $\overline{1}$  $\overline{1}$ 

Real output growth rate  
\nInflation rate  
\nShort-term interest rate  
\nCO<sub>2</sub> emissions growth rate\n
$$
\begin{bmatrix}\n\Delta \log (y_t) \\
\pi_t - 1 \\
r_t - 1 \\
\Delta \log (e_t)\n\end{bmatrix}
$$

### **ESTIMATION**

▶ Our statistical model is an extension of [Fair and Taylor \(1983\)](#page-37-1) to deal with trends:

$$
\tilde{y}_t = g_{\Theta}(y_0, y, \{0\}_{1:T})
$$
\n(1)

$$
y_t = \mathbb{E}_{t,t+S} \left\{ g_{\Theta} \left( y_{t-1}, \tilde{y}_{t+S+1}, \varepsilon_t \right) \right\} \tag{2}
$$

$$
\mathcal{Y}_t = h_{\Theta}(y_t) \tag{3}
$$

$$
\varepsilon_t \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \Sigma_{\varepsilon}\right) \tag{4}
$$

- $\triangleright$  Compute the deterministic path  $\tilde{y}_t$ , add stochastic innovations through extended path  $\mathbb{E}_{t,t+S}\{\cdot\}$  with expectation horizon *S*.
- **▶ Maximize sample likelihood**  $\mathcal{L}(\theta, \mathcal{Y}_{1:T^*})$  **& run Metropolis-Hastings to compute** uncertainty bands.

### **ESTIMATION**

- ▶ Large uncertainty about future carbon tax: implications for estimation in particular at the end of the sample.
- ▶ Let  $\tilde{\tau}^*_t$  denote the Paris-Agreement tax, with rising carbon tax up to 2050, we let the data inform about the market-based expectations on future carbon mitigation policies:

$$
\mathbb{E}_{t,t+S}\{\tilde{\tau}_t\}=\varphi\tilde{\tau}_t^*
$$

where  $\varphi \in [0, 1]$  is the fraction of believers in Paris-Agreement policy.



### STOCHASTIC AND DETERMINISTIC PATHS





<span id="page-21-0"></span>

[Introduction](#page-1-0)

[The NKC model](#page-5-0)

[Estimation](#page-16-0)

[The Anatomy of Green/Climateflation](#page-21-0)

[Conclusion](#page-34-0)

# THE ANATOMY OF GREEN/CLIMATEFLATION

- ▶ What is the future macroeconomic landscape by the end of the century?
- ▶ We consider three alternative scenarios based on the realization of the carbon tax  $\varphi \tilde{\tau}_t^*$ :
	- **•** Paris-Agreement with  $\varphi = 1$ .
	- $\triangleright$  Estimated carbon path with  $\varphi = 0.53$ .
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Laissez-faire with  $\varphi = 0$ .

### THREE TRANSITIONS



Figure 2: Model-implied projections based on alternative control rates of emissions

One can split the marginal cost into three term:

$$
mc_t = \underbrace{\tilde{w}_t}_{\text{standard climateflation}} / \underbrace{\Phi(m_t)}_{\text{dimenteflation}} + \underbrace{\theta_{1,t}\mu_t^{\theta_2} + \tau_{e,t}\sigma_t (1 - \mu_t)\varepsilon_{e,t}}_{\text{greenflation}},
$$
 (5)

which allows to break down inflation into 4 different forces:





▶ Very different inflation dynamics between the 2 regimes.

 $\blacktriangleright$  What drives this gap?



- $\triangleright$  The immediate increase in carbon tax fuels inflation.
- ▶ But increasing abatement expenditures reduces both consumption and in turn the wealth effect on the labor supply.
- ▶ Reducing emissions also stabilizes damages and inflation.



- ▶ The immediate increase in carbon tax fuels inflation.
- ▶ But increasing abatement expenditures reduces both consumption and in turn the wealth effect on the labor supply.
- ▶ Reducing emissions also stabilizes damages and inflation.



- $\triangleright$  The immediate increase in carbon tax fuels inflation.
- ▶ But increasing abatement expenditures reduces both consumption and in turn the wealth effect on the labor supply.
- ▶ Reducing emissions also stabilizes damages and inflation.



- ▶ The immediate increase in carbon tax fuels inflation.
- ▶ But increasing abatement expenditures reduces both consumption and in turn the wealth effect on the labor supply.
- ▶ Reducing emissions also stabilizes damages and inflation.



▶ Under Laissez-faire:

- ▶ The rising damage makes resources scarcer: ever growing inflation as long as planet warms.
- ▶ Disengagement from carbon policy makes carbon price to be zero.
- ▶ Standard term follows the recessionary forces from in-sample inflation, but decreases as climate grows.



▶ Under Laissez-faire:

- ▶ The rising damage makes resources scarcer: ever growing inflation as long as planet warms.
- ▶ Disengagement from carbon policy makes carbon price to be zero.
- ▶ Standard term follows the recessionary forces from in-sample inflation, but decreases as climate grows.



▶ Under Laissez-faire:

- ▶ The rising damage makes resources scarcer: ever growing inflation as long as planet warms.
- ▶ Disengagement from carbon policy makes carbon price to be zero.
- ▶ Standard term decreases as carbon stock grows.

### ADDITIONAL RESULTS

- ▶ Transition is inflationary, robust to different parametrizations (attenuation, NK slope, AD slope, etc.).
- ▶ Taylor output parameter determines if climate damages are in real or nominal terms.
- ▶ Short pain from transition (greenflation) against the long terms costs of a warming planet (climateflation).
- ▶ From monetary policy perspective, easier to manage green transition than a warming planet.

<span id="page-34-0"></span>

[Introduction](#page-1-0)

[The NKC model](#page-5-0)

[Estimation](#page-16-0)

[The Anatomy of Green/Climateflation](#page-21-0)

#### [Conclusion](#page-34-0)

# **CONCLUSION**

- ▶ This paper has developed a four-dimensional New Keynesian model with climate externality.
- This framework allows us to identify two phenomena faced by the central bank:
	- ▶ The first one is a persistent negative supply shock called *climateflation* that arises from the deleterious effects of climate change itself:
	- ▶ The second one is a transitory positive demand shock called *greenflation* that appears following the implementation of a climate mitigation policy;
- ▶ Short pain from transition (greenflation) against the long terms costs of a warming planet (climateflation).

<span id="page-36-0"></span>Thank you for your attention

- <span id="page-37-1"></span>Fair, R. and Taylor, J. (1983). Solution and maximum likelihood estimation of dynamic nonlinear rational expectations models. *Econometrica*, 51:1169–1185.
- <span id="page-37-0"></span>Schnabel, I. (2022). A new age of energy inflation: climateflation, fossilflation and greenflation. In *Remarks at a panel on "Monetary Policy and Climate Change" at The ECB and its Watchers XXII Conference, Frankfurt am Main*, volume 17.