Strike while the Iron is Hot:

Optimal Monetary Policy with a Nonlinear Phillips Curve

Peter Karadi[1,4] Anton Nakov[1,4] Galo Nuño[2,4] Ernesto Pasten[3] Dominik Thaler[1]

[1]ECB · [2]Bank of Spain · [3]Central Bank of Chile · [4]CEPR

August 2024

Motivation

- The recent inflation surge featured
  - Increase in the frequency of price changes US
  - Increase in Phillips curve slope (Benigno and Eggertsson, 2023; Cerrato and Gitti, 2023) US
- Optimal monetary policy is mainly studied in models, in which the Phillips curve is linear and the frequency is an exogenous constant (Galí, 2008; Woodford, 2003)
- What does optimal monetary policy look like with a nonlinear Phillips curve and endogenous variation in frequency?

What do we do?

- We use the standard state-dependent pricing model of Golosov and Lucas (2007)
- Solve it nonlinearly using a new algorithm over the sequence space under perfect foresight
- Positive analysis under a Taylor rule
  - Assess responses to shocks of different sizes
  - Assess nonlinearity of the Phillips curve
- Normative analysis: Ramsey optimal policy
  - Optimal long-run inflation
  - Characterize optimal responses to shocks
  - Characterize the nonlinear targeting rule after large cost-push shocks

What do we find?

- In this model the Phillips curve is nonlinear: it gets steeper as frequency increases;
- In response to small shocks, optimal monetary policy is similar to the one under Calvo
- In response to efficient shocks, we have divine coincidence
- Different response to small and large cost-push shocks. Optimal policy stabilizes inflation, when frequency is high: "it strikes while the iron is hot"

Literature

- Nonlinear Phillips curve (Benigno and Eggertsson, 2023; Cerrato and Gitti, 2023)
  - Microfounded by state-dependent price setting (Golosov and Lucas, 2007; Gertler and Leahy, 2008; Auclert et al., 2022)
  - In the presence of large aggregate shocks (Karadi and Reiff, 2019; Alvarez and Neumeyer, 2020; Costain et al., 2022; Alexandrov, 2020; Blanco et al., 2024)
- Optimal policy in a menu cost economy
  - Optimal inflation target (Burstein and Hellwig, 2008; Adam and Weber, 2019; Blanco, 2021)
  - Small shocks, large shocks, optimal nonlinear target rule (comp. Galí, 2008; Woodford, 2003)
  - Focus on aggregate and volatility shocks (differently from Caratelli and Halperin, 2023, who focus on (small) *sectoral* shocks)

Overview of the model

- Heterogeneous-firm DSGE model with fixed costs of price-adjustment
- Households: consume (C_t) a Dixit-Stiglitz basket of goods, work (N_t) and save HH
- Firms: produce differentiated goods (j) using labor only and are subject to aggregate TFP shocks (A_t) and idiosyncratic "quality" shocks ($A_t(j)$). They have market power and set prices optimally subject to a fixed cost (η) (Golosov and Lucas, 2007) Firms.
- Monetary policy either follows Taylor rule or set optimally to maximize household welfare under commitment Policy

Model: Intuitive summary

- Each period, firm j chooses whether to reset its price and, if so, what new price to set
- The firm's optimality conditions define the reset price and the inaction region (S,s)
- Given the idiosyncr. shock, they endogenously determine the price distribution
- Let $p_t(j) \equiv \log\left(P_t(j)/(A_t(j)P_t)\right)$ be the quality-adjusted log relative price
- Let $x_t(j) \equiv p_t(j) - p_t^*(j)$ be the difference of that price from the optimal price



Model: Intuitive summary, cont.

- Large aggregate shock: Shifts optimal price $p_t^*(j)$ and price gap $x_t(j)$ for all firms
- Limited impact on the (S,s) bands
- Pushes a large fraction of firms outside of the (S,s) bands
- Large impact on the frequency of price changes and flexibility of the aggregate price level



Model: Intuitive summary, cont.

- Nominal frictions and imperfect competition imply three distortions
  - Price dispersion
  - Adjustment (menu) costs

$$N_t = \frac{C_t}{A_t} \cdot \text{dispersion}_t + \eta \cdot \text{frequency}_t,$$

  - Inefficient markup fluctuations



Calibration

		Households	
β	$0.96^{1/12}$	Discount rate	Golosov and Lucas (2007)
ϵ	7	Elasticity of substitution	Golosov and Lucas (2007)
γ	1	Risk aversion parameter	Midrigan (2011)
υ	1	Utility weight on labor	Set to yield $w = C$
		Price setting	
η	3.6%	Menu cost	Set to match 8.7% of frequency
σ	2.4%	Std dev of quality shocks	and 8.5% size in Nakamura and Steinsson (2008)
		Monetary policy	
ϕ_π	1.5	Inflation coefficient in Taylor rule	Taylor (1993)
ϕ_y	0.5/12	Output gap coefficient in Taylor rule	Taylor (1993)
ρ_i	$0.75^{1/3}$	Smoothing coefficient	
		Shocks	
ρ_A	$0.95^{1/3}$	Persistence of the TFP shock	Smets and Wouters (2007)
ρ_τ	$0.9^{1/3}$	Persistence of the cost-push shock	Smets and Wouters (2007)
ρ_σ	$0.75^{1/3}$	Persistence of the dispersion shock	

Nonlinearity of the Phillips Curve at realistic frequency (20%) US

Consider the model under a Taylor rule Robustness

Nonlinear Phillips Curve (PC)



Frequency



Sacrifice ratio (PC slope)



Normative results – Computation

- Challenges
  - Price change distribution and firms' value function are infinite-dimensional objects
  - In the Ramsey problem, we need derivatives w.r.t. both (Gateaux derivatives)
- New algorithm, inspired by González et al. (2024)
  - Approximate distribution and value functions by piece-wise linear interpolation on grid
  - Endogenous grid points: (S,s) bands and the optimal reset price
  - Solve in the sequence space using Dynare's Ramsey solver

Optimal long-run inflation rate

- The steady-state Ramsey inflation rate is slightly above zero: $\pi^* = 0.25\%$
  - Close to π that minimizes the steady-state frequency of price changes
- Why not zero as in Calvo (1983)?
  - Asymmetry of profit function leads to asymmetric (S,s) bands: negative price gap is less desirable than a positive price gap of the same size
  - At zero inflation, more mass around the lower (s) band than around the higher (S) band
  - Slightly positive inflation raises p^* and pushes the mass of firms to the right
  - This leads to lower frequency and lower price-adjustment costs

Steady-state price distribution (zero inflation)



Optimal response to cost-push shocks

- In linearized Calvo (1983), optimal policy is a flexible inflation targeting rule

$$\pi_t = -\frac{1}{\epsilon}\Delta\tilde{y}_t^e$$

- Slope $-1/\epsilon$ is independent of the frequency of repricing
  - An increase in frequency raises the slope of the Phillips curve κ
  - But it also raises the relative weight of output-gap in welfare $\lambda = \kappa/\epsilon$
  - Why? Because more price-flexibility implies inflation is less costly!
- For small cost-push shocks, the slope of the targeting rule in Golosov and Lucas (2007) is also approximately $-1/\epsilon$

Nonlinear targeting rule

- The target rule is nonlinear Robustness
- After large shocks, the planner stabilizes inflation more relative to the output gap
- Why? Stabilizing inflation is cheaper due to lower sacrifice ratio (higher frequency)
  - Very similar results with quadratic (Calvo) objectives
  - Nonlinearity is mainly driven by Phillips curve



Optimal response to efficient shocks: “divine coincidence” holds

- In the standard NK model with Calvo pricing: divine coincidence holds after TFP (A_t) and other shocks affecting the efficient allocation
- Optimal policy stabilizes inflation and closes the output gap
- We show algebraically, that, after a TFP shock (A_t), a “dynamic divine coincidence” holds in our model: inflation is stabilized at its steady state value and output gap is closed

Conclusion

We study optimal policy in a state-dependent framework with a nonlinear Phillips curve

- Optimal long-run inflation is near zero
- Optimal response to small cost shocks similar to Calvo (1983): lower welfare weight of inflation offsets higher slope of the Phillips curve
- Divine coincidence for efficient shocks
- Lean against frequency for large shocks: strike the iron while it's hot!

CPI and frequency of price changes in the US, Montag and Villar (2023)



Back

Phillips correlation across US cities, Cerrato and Gitti (2023)



Back

Households

- A representative household consumes (C_t), supplies labor hours (N_t) and saves in one-period nominal bonds (B_t).
- The household's problem is:

$$\max_{C_t, N_t, B_t} \mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \log(C)_t - \nu N_t$$

$$\text{s.t. } P_t C_t + B_t + T_t = R_{t-1} B_{t-1} + W_t N_t + D_t,$$

where P_t is the price level, R_t is the gross nominal interest rate, W_t is the nominal wage, T_t are lump sum transfers and D_t are profits

Back

Consumption and labor

- Aggregate consumption C_t and the price level are defined as:

$$C_t = \left\{ \int \left[A_t(i) C_t(i) \right]^{\frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon}} di \right\}^{\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon - 1}}, \quad P_t = \left[\int_0^1 \left(\frac{P_t(i)}{A_t(i)} \right)^{1-\epsilon} di \right]^{\frac{1}{1-\epsilon}}$$

where $A_t(i)$ is product quality, ϵ is the elasticity of substitution.

- Labor supply condition and Euler equation are given by:

$$W_t = \upsilon P_t C_t, \quad 1 = \mathbb{E}_t \left[\beta \frac{u'(C_{t+1})}{u'(C_t)} \frac{R_t}{\Pi_{t+1}} \right]$$

Back

Monopolistic producers

- Production of good i is given by $Y_t(i) = A_t \frac{N_t(i)}{A_t(i)}$, where quality follows a random walk

$$log(A_t(i)) = log(A_{t-1}(i)) + \varepsilon_t(i), \quad \varepsilon_t(i) \sim N(0, \sigma_t^2)$$

- Firms real profits

$$\frac{D_t(i)}{P_t} = \frac{P_t(i)}{P_t} Y_t(i) - (1-\tau_t)\frac{W_t}{P_t} N_t(i)$$

- Firms face a fixed cost η to update prices

Back

Quality-adjusted relative prices

- Let $p_t(i) \equiv \log(P_t(i)/(A_t(i)P_t))$ be the quality-adjusted log relative price
- Real profit then is

$$\Pi(p_t(i), w_t, A_t) \equiv \frac{D_t(i)}{P_t} = C_t e^{p_t(i)(1-\epsilon)} - C_t(1-\tau_t)\frac{w_t}{A_t} e^{p_t(i)(-\epsilon)}$$

where w_t is the real wage.

- When nominal price $P_t(i)$ stays constant, $p_t(i)$ evolves: $p_t(i) = p_{t-1}(i) - \sigma_t \varepsilon_t(i) - \pi_t$

Back

Pricing decision

- Let $\lambda_t(p)$ be the price-adjustment probability
- Value function is

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_t(p) &= \Pi(p, w_t, A_t) \\
&+ \mathbb{E}_t \left[(1 - \lambda_{t+1}(p - \sigma_{t+1}\varepsilon_{t+1} - \pi_{t+1})) \Lambda_{t,t+1} V_{t+1}(p - \sigma_{t+1}\varepsilon_{t+1} - \pi_{t+1}) \right] \\
&+ \mathbb{E}_t \left[\lambda_{t+1}(p - \sigma_{t+1}\varepsilon_{t+1} - \pi_{t+1}) \Lambda_{t,t+1} \left(\max_{p'} V_{t+1}(p') - \eta w_{t+1} \right) \right].
\end{aligned}
$$

- The adjustment probability is

$$
\lambda_t(p) = I[\max_{p'} V_t(p') - \eta w_t > V_t(p)]
$$

where $I[\cdot]$ is the indicator function.

Back

Monetary Policy

- The central bank either sets policy optimally, or follows a Taylor rule:

$$\log(R_t) = \rho_r \log(R_{t-1}) + (1-\rho_r)\left[\phi_\pi(\pi_t - \pi^*) + \phi_y(y_t - y_t^e)\right] + \varepsilon_{r,t} \quad \varepsilon_{r,t} \sim N(0, \sigma_r^2)$$

- Shocks: employment subsidy (τ_t), TFP (A_t), volatility (σ_t)

$$\log(A_t) = \rho_A \log(A_{t-1}) + \varepsilon_{A,t} \quad \varepsilon_{A,t} \sim N(0, \sigma_A^2)$$

$$\tau_t - \tau = \rho_\tau(\tau_{t-1} - \tau) + \varepsilon_{\tau,t} \quad \varepsilon_{\tau,t} \sim N(0, \sigma_\tau^2),$$

$$\log(\sigma_t/\sigma) = \rho_\sigma \log(\sigma_{t-1}/\sigma) + \varepsilon_{\sigma,t} \quad \varepsilon_{\sigma,t} \sim N(0, \sigma_\sigma^2)$$

Back

Aggregation and market clearing

- Aggregate price index

$$1 = \int e^{p(1-\epsilon)} g_t\left(p\right) dp,$$

- Labor market equilibrium

$$N_t = \underbrace{\frac{C_t}{A_t} \int e^{p(-\epsilon)} g_t\left(p\right) dp}_{\text{dispersion}} + \eta \underbrace{\int \lambda_t (p - \sigma_t \varepsilon - \pi_t) g_{t-1}(p) dp}_{\text{frequency}},$$

Back

Law of motion of the price density

$$g_t(p) = \begin{cases} (1-\lambda_t(p)) \int g_{t-1}(p+\sigma\varepsilon+\pi_t) d\xi(\varepsilon) & \text{if } p \neq p_t^*, \\ (1-\lambda_t(p_t^*)) \int g_{t-1}(p_t^*+\sigma\varepsilon+\pi_t) d\xi(\varepsilon) + \\ \quad \int_{\underline{p}}^{\overline{p}} \lambda_t(\tilde{p}) \left(\int g_{t-1}(\tilde{p}+\sigma\varepsilon+\pi_t) d\xi(\varepsilon) \right) d\tilde{p} & \text{if } p = p_t^*. \end{cases}$$

Back

The Ramsey problem

$$\max_{\{g_t^c(\cdot),g_t^0,V_t(\cdot),C_t,w_t,p_t^*,s_t,S_t,\pi_t^*\}} \mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u\left(C_t, \frac{C_t}{A_t}\left(\int e^{(x+p_t^*)(-\epsilon_t)} g_t^c\left(p\right) dx + g_t^0 e^{(p_t^*)(-\epsilon)}\right) + \eta g_t^0\right)$$

subject to

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &= \int e^{(x+p_t^*)(1-\epsilon)} g_t^c\left(x\right) dx + g_t^0 e^{(p_t^*)(1-\epsilon)}, \\
V_t'\left(0\right) &= \Pi_t'(x) + \frac{1}{\sigma}\Lambda_{t+1}\int_{s_{t+1}}^{S_{t+1}} V_{t+1}(x')\frac{\partial \phi\left(\frac{x-x'-\pi_t^*}{\sigma}\right)}{\partial x} dx' + \Lambda_{t+1}\left(\phi\left(\frac{S_{t+1}-\pi_t^*}{\sigma}\right) - \phi\left(\frac{s_{t+1}-\pi_t^*}{\sigma}\right)\right)\left(V_{t+1}(0) - \eta w_{t+1}\right), \\
V_t\left(s_t\right) &= V_t\left(0\right) - \eta w_t, \\
V_t\left(S_t\right) &= V_t\left(0\right) - \eta w_t, \\
w_t &= \upsilon C_t^{\gamma}, \\
V_t(x) &= \Pi(x, p_t^*, w_t, A_t) + \Lambda_{t,t+1}\frac{1}{\sigma}\int_{s_t}^{S_t}\left[V_{t+1}(x')\phi\left(\frac{(x-x')-\pi_{t+1}^*}{\sigma}\right)\right] dx' + \Lambda_{t,t+1}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\sigma}\int_{s_t}^{S_t}\left[\phi\left(\frac{(x-x')-\pi_{t+1}^*}{\sigma}\right)\right] dx'\right)\left[\left(V_{t+1}\left(0\right) - \eta w_{t+1}\right)\right], \\
g_t^c(x) &= \frac{1}{\sigma}\int_{s_{t-1}}^{S_{t-1}} g_{t-1}^c(x_{-1})\phi\left(\frac{x_{-1}-x-\pi_t^*}{\sigma}\right) dx_{-1} + g_{t-1}^0\phi\left(\frac{-x-\pi_t^*}{\sigma}\right), \\
g_t^0 &= 1 - \int_{s_t}^{S_t} g_t^c(x) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Back

Robustness





Back

References I

Adam, Klaus and Henning Weber (2019) “Optimal Trend Inflation,” *American Economic Review*, Vol. 109, pp. 702–737.

Alexandrov, Andrey (2020) “The Effects of Trend Inflation on Aggregate Dynamics and Monetary Stabilization,” crc tr 224 discussion paper series, University of Bonn and University of Mannheim, Germany.

Alvarez, Fernando and Pablo Andres Neumeyer (2020) “The Passthrough of Large Cost Shocks in an Inflationary Economy,” in Gonzalo Castex, Jordi Galí, and Diego Saravia eds. *Changing Inflation Dynamics,Evolving Monetary Policy*, Vol. 27 of Central Banking, Analysis, and Economic Policies Book Series: Central Bank of Chile, Chap. 2, pp. 007–048.

Auclert, Adrien, Rodolfo D Rigato, Matthew Rognlie, and Ludwig Straub (2022) “New Pricing Models, Same Old Phillips Curves?” Technical report, National Bureau of Economic Research.

References II

Benigno, Pierpaolo and Gauti Eggertsson (2023) "It's Baaack: The Surge in Inflation in the 2020s and the Return of the Non-Linear Phillips Curve," NBER Working Papers 31197, National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc.

Blanco, Andres, Corina Boar, Callum Jones, and Virgiliu Midrigan (2024) "Nonlinear Inflation Dynamics in Menu Cost Economies," Technical report, unpublished manuscript.

Blanco, Andrés (2021) "Optimal Inflation Target in an Economy with Menu Costs and a Zero Lower Bound," *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics*, Vol. 13, pp. 108–141.

Burstein, Ariel and Christian Hellwig (2008) "Welfare Costs of Inflation in a Menu Cost Model," *American Economic Review*, Vol. 98, pp. 438–43.

Calvo, Guillermo A. (1983) "Staggered Prices in a Utility-Maximizing Framework," *Journal of Monetary Economics*, Vol. 12, pp. 383 – 398.

References III

Caratelli, Daniele and Basil Halperin (2023) "Optimal monetary policy under menu costs," unpublished manuscript.

Cerrato, Andrea and Giulia Gitti (2023) "Inflation Since COVID: Demand or Supply," unpublished manuscript.

Costain, James, Anton Nakov, and Borja Petit (2022) "Flattening of the Phillips Curve with State-Dependent Prices and Wages," *The Economic Journal*, Vol. 132, pp. 546–581.

Galí, Jordi (2008) *Monetary Policy, Inflation, and the Business Cycle: An Introduction to the New Keynesian Framework*: Princeton University Press.

Gertler, Mark and John Leahy (2008) "A Phillips Curve with an Ss Foundation," *Journal of Political Economy*, Vol. 116, pp. 533–572.

Golosov, Mikhail and Robert E. Lucas (2007) "Menu Costs and Phillips Curves," *Journal of Political Economy*, Vol. 115, pp. 171–199.

References IV

González, Beatriz, Galo Nuño, Dominik Thaler, and Silvia Albrizio (2024) "Firm Heterogeneity, Capital Misallocation and Optimal Monetary Policy," Working Paper Series 2890, European Central Bank.

Karadi, Peter and Adam Reiff (2019) "Menu Costs, Aggregate Fluctuations, and Large Shocks," *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics*, Vol. 11, pp. 111–146.

Midrigan, Virgiliu (2011) "Menu Costs, Multiproduct Firms, and Aggregate Fluctuations," *Econometrica*, Vol. 79, pp. 1139–1180.

Montag, Hugh and Daniel Villar (2023) "Price-Setting During the Covid Era," *FEDS Notes*.

Nakamura, Emi and Jón Steinsson (2008) "Five Facts about Prices: A Reevaluation of Menu Cost Models," *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, Vol. 123, pp. 1415–1464.

Smets, Frank and Rafael Wouters (2007) "Shocks and Frictions in US Business Cycles: A Bayesian DSGE Approach," *The American Economic Review*, Vol. 97, pp. 586–606.

References V

Taylor, John B (1993) "Discretion versus Policy Rules in Practice," in *Carnegie-Rochester Conference Series on Public Policy*, Vol. 39, pp. 195–214, Elsevier.

Woodford, Michael (2003) *Interest and Prices: Foundations of a Theory of Monetary Policy*: Princeton University Press.