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## Motivation

## 1. Liquid wealth distribution key state variable for policy transmission

- Tight link between liquid wealth and marginal propensity to consume (MPC)
- High MPC households key building block in heterogeneous agent models
- Typically focus on net, not gross liquid wealth position:

$$
\operatorname{MPC}(a-d) \text { vs } \operatorname{MPC}(a, d)
$$
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- Tight link between liquid wealth and marginal propensity to consume (MPC)
- High MPC households key building block in heterogeneous agent models
- Typically focus on net, not gross liquid wealth position:

$$
\operatorname{MPC}(a-d) \text { vs } \operatorname{MPC}(a, d)
$$

2. Co-holding liquid assets and liquid debt is common in the US

- 1/3 of Americans regularly borrow on their credit card
- Vast majority simultaneously holds liquid assets
- Distribution of liquid wealth $\Longrightarrow$ joint distribution of liquid assets and debt

What are the implications of co-holding for fiscal policy?

## THIS PAPER

## What are the implications of co-holding for fiscal policy?

1. Empirical analysis

- Study role of joint liquid asset/debt distribution for marginal propensities to
- consume (MPC)
- save (MPS)
- repay debt (MPRD)
$\rightarrow$ Gross liquid wealth position matters:
- Neither gross nor net liquid wealth $(a=0, d=0) \rightarrow$ High MPC
- Gross but no net liquid wealth (e.g. $a=1000, d=1000$ ) $\rightarrow$ High MPRD
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## THIS PAPER

## What are the implications of co-holding for fiscal/monetary policy?

2. Model

- Consumption-savings model w/ coholding via liquidity-in-advance constraint
$\rightarrow$ Matches levels and slopes of MPC/MPS/MPRD across asset/debt distribution

3. Fiscal policy implications

- Targeted transfers difficult; consumption/deleveraging trade-off


## DATA \& EMPIRICS

## CO-HOLDING OF LIQUID ASSETS AND DEBT IN THE US


(a) Fraction of Credit Card Holders

## CO-HOLDING OF LIQUID ASSETS AND DEBT IN THE US



(a) Fraction of Credit Card Holders
(b) Liquid asset/debt ratio for credit card borrowers Note: 2016 SCF. Liquid assets are defined as funds in checking and savings accounts.

## Theoretical Explanations of Co-holding liquid assets and debt

1. Liquidity [Telyukova-Wright-2008, Telyukova-2013]
2. Credit access risk [Fulford-2015, Druedahl-Jørgensen-2018, Gorbachev-Luengo-Prado-2019]
3. Accountant-shopper models [Bertaut-et-al-2009]

## MEASURING MARGINAL PROPENSITIES IN THE DATA

New York FED Survey of Consumer Expectations (SCE), 2015-2018

- Repeated cross-section of households
- Data on demographics, income and balance sheets:
- Liquid assets: Money in checking/savings accounts
- Liquid debt: Credit card debt
- Marginal propensities to consume, save and repay debt: "Suppose next year you were to find your household with 10 percent more income than you currently expect. What would you do with the extra income?" [spend/save/pay down debt (in \%)]
- Alternative measure: usage of tax refund


## Household liquid balance sheet and marginal propensities

$$
M P_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} A_{i}+\beta_{2} D_{i}+\gamma X_{i}+u_{i}
$$

|  | $(1)$ <br> Spend | $(2)$ <br> Save | $(3)$ <br> Repay Debt |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Liquid Assets | 0.0346 | $0.231^{* * *}$ | $-0.265^{* * *}$ |
|  | $(0.0219)$ | $(0.0308)$ | $(0.0296)$ |
| Liquid Debt | $-0.243^{* * *}$ | $-0.674^{* * *}$ | $0.918^{* * *}$ |
|  | $(0.0523)$ | $(0.0818)$ | $(0.111)$ |
| N | 2,578 | 2,578 | 2,578 |
| $R^{2}$ | 0.069 | 0.119 | 0.174 |

## Thought experiment:

$\cdot+1 \$ \mathrm{~A},+1 \$ \mathrm{D}, \Delta W=0$

- Gross wealth $\uparrow$, net wealth constant
$\rightarrow$ Lower MPC! $+0.03-0.24=-0.21$

Notes: Regressions control additionally for age, gender, race, marital status, education, geography, and survey date. Survey weights used. Liquid assets include money in checking/savings accounts. Liquid debt is credit card debt.

Model

## Model OVERVIEW

- Financial markets: liquid one-period instruments for assets, $a$, and debt, $d$
- Interest rate on borrowing higher than on saving, $\delta>0$
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## Model OVERVIEW

- Financial markets: liquid one-period instruments for assets, $a$, and debt, $d$
- Interest rate on borrowing higher than on saving, $\delta>0$
- Exogenous stochastic persistent income process
- Households choose saving and borrowing to maximize utility:

$$
E_{0} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} u\left(c_{t}\right)
$$

s.t. budget and borrowing constraints:

$$
c_{t}+\underbrace{\frac{a_{t+1}}{R}}_{\text {saving }}-\underbrace{\frac{d_{t+1}}{R+\delta}}_{\text {borrowing }}=y_{t}+a_{t}-d_{t}, \quad d_{t+1} \leq \phi
$$

## LIQUIDITY-IN-ADVANCE CONSTRAINT

- Co-holding through liquidity-in-advance constraint Givence
[Lucas 1982, Svensson 1985, Telyukova 2013]

$$
\theta c_{t} \leq a_{t}
$$

- This is an intertemporal constraint!
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## LIQUIDITY-IN-ADVANCE CONSTRAINT

- Co-holding through liquidity-in-advance constraint Evidence
[Lucas 1982, Svensson 1985, Telyukova 2013]

$$
\theta c_{t} \leq a_{t}
$$

- This is an intertemporal constraint!
- Rational co-holding for consumption: borrow to smooth, save to finance
- Recursive formulation:

$$
V(a, d, y)=\max _{c, a^{\prime}, d^{\prime}} u(c(a, d, y))+\beta E V\left(a^{\prime}, d^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)
$$

s.t. BC, LIA, $a^{\prime} \geq \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{O} \leq d^{\prime} \leq \phi$

- Extension with expenditure shock (not today)


## CALIBRATION

| Parameter | Description | Value | Source/Target |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| External |  |  |  |
| $\gamma$ | Risk aversion | 2 | Standard |
| $r$ | Interest rate | 0.0033 | $4.00 \%$ APR |
| $\delta$ | Credit card spread | 0.0074 | $9.63 \%$ APR |
| $\theta$ | Share of liquid consumption | 0.683 | Telyukova 2013 |
| $\phi$ | Borrowing limit | 2.2 | 74\% of quarterly income |
| $\rho_{y}$ | Persistence of $y_{t}$ | 0.096 | Gelman 2021 |
| $\sigma_{y}^{2}$ | Variance of innovation in $y_{t}$ | 0.039 | Gelman 2021 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Internal |  | 0.9926 | 75th pct. of liquid debt-to-income |
| $\beta$ | Discount factor |  |  |

## Results

## Data versus model moments

|  | Data | Model |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Targeted |  |  |
| 75th pct of liquid debt-to-income | 0.42 | 0.42 |
|  |  |  |
| Untargeted |  |  |
| Median liquid debt-to-income | 0.00 | 0.06 |
| Median liquid asset-to-income | 0.51 | 0.87 |
| \% of co-holders | 25 | 37 |
|  |  |  |
| Avg. MPC | 17.1 | 13.6 |
| Avg. MPRD | 38.4 | 38.6 |
| Avg. MPS | 44.5 | 49.1 |

Notes: Balance sheet moments from SCF. MPX from unexpected and transitory income change of 500USD.

## DISTRIBUTION OF MARGINAL PROPENSITIES: MODEL VS DATA


(a) MPC - data

(c) MPRD - data

(b) MPC - model

(d) MPRD - model

## Marginal propensities across distribution of liquid wealth

Propensities by Wealth

(a) Data

## Marginal propensities across distribution of liquid wealth

Propensities by Wealth
Propensities by Wealth

(a) Data

Debt Joint
(b) Model

## MPC ACROSS THE JOINT DISTRIBUTION

Fitted values from model regression: $M P_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} A_{i}+\beta_{2} D_{i}+\gamma X_{i}+u_{i}$


FISCAL POLICY

- Frequent argument: target high MPC households to raise aggregate demand
- Who are the high MPC households? Liquid wealth is imperfect measure
- Fiscal policy experiment:
- Simulate response to targeted transfers of \$500
- Targeting based on income and wealth


## FISCAL POLICY EXPERIMENT

Change in aggregate consumption and debt following transfers

|  | Income-based |  |  | Wealth-based |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Targeted population | $\frac{\Delta C}{T}$ | $\frac{\Delta D}{T}$ |  | $\frac{\Delta C}{T}$ | $\frac{\Delta D}{T}$ |
| Bottom 10\% | 14.4 | -58.0 |  | 13.5 | -86.0 |
| Bottom 30\% | 14.3 | -49.1 |  | 12.1 | -78.3 |
| Bottom 50\% | 14.0 | -46.7 |  | 13.6 | -66.2 |
| All | 13.6 | -39.3 |  | 13.6 | -39.3 |

## CONCLUSION

- Hand-to-mouth behaviour not as straightforward as often modelled
- Joint distribution of liquid assets/debt matters beyond liquid wealth
- Simple model with liquidity-in-advance constraint generates:
- Co-holding of liquid assets and debt
- Empirically observed marginal propensities to consume, save and repay debt
- Novel implications for fiscal policy


## LITERATURE

1. Co-holding puzzle [Gross-Souleles-2002, Bertaut-et-al-2009, Telyukova-Wright-2008, Telyukova-2013, Fulford-2015, Druedahl--ørgensen- 2018, Gorbachev-Luengo-Prado-2019]
$\rightarrow$ Focus on macroeconomic implications of co-holding Explanations
2. Marginal propensities to consume and policy transmission [...]
$\rightarrow$ Highlight role of joint distribution of liquid assets and debt
$\rightarrow$ Potential resolution to conflicting evidence on slope of MPC
$\rightarrow$ Investigate marginal propensity to repay debt
3. Debt-dependent fiscal multipliers [Dynan-et al-2013, Mian-et-al-2013, Klein- 2017, Baker-2018, Bernardini-Peersman-2018, Demyanyk-et-al-2019, Bernardini-et-al-2020]
$\rightarrow$ Focus on liquid debt instead of total debt

## LIQUID BALANCE SHEET AND MARGINAL PROPENSITIES: JAPELLI-PISTAFERRI-2014

Household liquid balance sheet and marginal propensities

|  | (1) <br> MPC | $\begin{aligned} & (2) \\ & \text { MPC } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I financial wealth quintile | $\begin{aligned} & 0.175^{* * *} \\ & (0.019) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.262^{* * *} \\ (0.021) \end{gathered}$ |
| II financial wealth quintile | $\begin{gathered} 0.150^{* * *} \\ (0.024) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.169^{* * *} \\ & (0.024) \end{aligned}$ |
| III financial wealth quintile | $\begin{aligned} & 0.109^{* * *} \\ & (0.020) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.118^{* * *} \\ & (0.020) \end{aligned}$ |
| IV financial wealth quintile | $\begin{aligned} & 0.044^{*} \\ & (0.019) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.053^{* *} \\ & (0.019) \end{aligned}$ |
| I financial debt tercile given pos. debt |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.153^{* * *} \\ (0.022) \end{gathered}$ |
| II financial debt tercile given pos. debt |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.138^{* * *} \\ (0.024) \end{gathered}$ |
| III financial debt tercile given pos. debt |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.224^{* * *} \\ (0.026) \end{gathered}$ |
| R-squared | 0.071 | 0.078 |
| Observations | 7950 | 7950 |

## LIquid balance sheet and marginal propensities: Christelis-et-Al-2019

Household liquid balance sheet and marginal propensities

|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | (5) | (6) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MPC | MPC | MPS | MPS | MPRD | MPRD |
| II financial wealth quartile | -0.010 | -0.024 | $0.088^{* * *}$ | $0.055^{*}$ | $-0.106^{* * *}$ | $-0.052^{*}$ |
|  | $(0.013)$ | $(0.014)$ | $(0.023)$ | $(0.024)$ | $(0.022)$ | $(0.021)$ |
| III financial wealth quartile | $-0.038^{* *}$ | $-0.054^{* * *}$ | $0.097^{* * *}$ | $0.058^{*}$ | $-0.102^{* * *}$ | -0.038 |
|  | $(0.013)$ | $(0.014)$ | $(0.023)$ | $(0.025)$ | $(0.022)$ | $(0.023)$ |
| IV financial wealth quartile | -0.014 | -0.030 | $0.143^{* * *}$ | $0.105^{* * *}$ | $-0.170^{* * *}$ | $-0.109^{* * *}$ |
|  | $(0.014)$ | $(0.015)$ | $(0.025)$ | $(0.026)$ | $(0.022)$ | $(0.022)$ |
| Low financial debt |  | $-0.039^{* *}$ |  | $-0.080^{* *}$ |  | $0.142^{* * *}$ |
|  |  | $(0.015)$ |  | $(0.029)$ |  | $(0.029)$ |
| High financial debt |  | $-0.044^{* *}$ |  | $-0.108^{* * *}$ |  | $0.171^{* * *}$ |
|  |  | $(0.016)$ |  | $(0.029)$ |  | $(0.031)$ |
| R-squared | 0.025 | 0.034 | 0.028 | 0.040 | 0.071 | 0.118 |
| Observations | 1332 | 1332 | 1326 | 1326 | 1332 | 1332 |

## RESPONSE SCHEME FOR MPC QUESTION

- Qualitative:

1. Save or invest all of it
2. Spend or donate all of it
3. Use all of it to pay down debts
4. Spend some and save some
5. Spend some and use part of it to pay down debts
6. Save some and use part of it to pay down debts
7. Spend some, save some and use some to pay down debts

- Quantitative (if previously 4-7):

1. Save or invest: \%
2. Spend or donate: \%
3. Pay down debts: \%

## REGRESSIONS OF MARGINAL PROPENSITIES: DATA VS MODEL

|  | Data |  |  |  |  | Model |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spend | Save | Repay Debt |  | Spend | Save | Repay Debt |  |
| Liquid Assets | 0.037 | $0.214^{* * *}$ | $-0.250^{* * *}$ |  | $-0.280^{* * *}$ | $2.511^{* * *}$ | $-2.290^{* * *}$ |  |
|  | $(0.021)$ | $(0.030)$ | $(0.028)$ |  | $(0.009)$ | $(0.041)$ | $(0.042)$ |  |
| Liquid Debt | $-0.229^{* * *}$ | $-0.640^{* * *}$ | $0.870^{* * *}$ |  | $-0.310^{* * *}$ | $-2.837^{* * *}$ | $3.174^{* * *}$ |  |
|  | $(0.053)$ | $(0.080)$ | $(0.110)$ |  | $(0.013)$ | $(0.046)$ | $(0.050)$ |  |
| N | 2578 | 2578 | 2578 |  | 9800 | 9800 | 9800 |  |
| adj. R2 | 0.015 | 0.073 | 0.110 |  | 0.058 | 0.549 | 0.551 |  |

## EXPENDITURE BY PAYMENT METHOD



Source: Greene-Stavings-2022, SCPC/DCPC.

## SCE VERSUS SCF

Distribution of assets, debt and income in the SCE and SCF

|  | SCE |  |  |  |  | SCF |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Variable | Mean | p25 | p50 | p75 |  | Mean | p25 | p50 | p75 |
| Liquid assets | 18.15 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 13.50 |  | 22.19 | 0.50 | 3.20 | 12.50 |
| Liquid debt | 9.62 | 0.00 | 1.40 | 7.50 |  | 2.88 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.20 |
| Liquid wealth | 8.53 | -4.70 | 0.00 | 10.50 |  | 19.31 | 0.00 | 1.20 | 10.16 |
| Total assets | 454.16 | 22.00 | 197.00 | 475.00 |  | 718.63 | 26.10 | 176.70 | 457.00 |
| Total debt | 148.08 | 6.00 | 40.00 | 150.00 |  | 114.79 | 3.00 | 44.95 | 153.60 |
| Total wealth | 304.16 | -1.00 | 99.00 | 317.00 |  | 603.83 | 8.25 | 81.44 | 307.08 |
| Income | 109.01 | 35.00 | 66.00 | 110.00 |  | 111.05 | 31.39 | 60.76 | 105.31 |
| Share of co-holders | 0.32 |  |  |  | 0.34 |  |  |  |  |
| Observations | 2774 |  |  |  | 4580 |  |  |  |  |

## JOINT DISTRIBUTION OF LIQUID ASSETS AND DEBT



(a) Data
(b) Model

## CO-HOLDING ACROSS THE INCOME DISTRIBUTION

Co-holding and income in the model


## CO-HOLDING OF LIQUID ASSETS AND DEBT IN THE US


(a) Credit Card Holders by Income Quartile

(b) Average liquid assets and debt

## Marginal propensities across the joint distribution

## Data

|  | (1) Spend | (2) Spend | (3) <br> Save | (4) Save | (5) <br> Repay Debt | (6) <br> Repay Debt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Liquid Wealth | $\begin{gathered} 0.070^{* * *} \\ (0.018) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.037 \\ (0.021) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.288^{* * *} \\ & (0.028) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.214^{* * *} \\ & (0.030) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.357^{* * *} \\ (0.028) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.250^{* * *} \\ & (0.028) \end{aligned}$ |
| Liquid Debt |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.193^{* *} \\ & (0.060) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.426^{* * *} \\ (0.089) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.621^{* * *} \\ (0.118) \end{gathered}$ |
| N | 2578 | 2578 | 2578 | 2578 | 2578 | 2578 |
| adj. R2 | 0.008 | 0.015 | 0.058 | 0.073 | 0.082 | 0.110 |
|  |  |  | Model |  |  |  |
|  | (1) Spend | (2) Spend | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (3) } \\ & \text { Save } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (4) } \\ & \text { Save } \end{aligned}$ | (5) <br> Repay Debt | (6) <br> Repay Debt |
| Liquid Wealth | $\begin{gathered} 0.000 \\ (0.005) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.280^{* * *} \\ (0.009) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.666^{* * *} \\ (0.017) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2.511*** } \\ & (0.041) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -2.710^{* * *} \\ (0.019) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -2.290^{* * *} \\ (0.042) \end{gathered}$ |
| Liquid Debt |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.590^{* * *} \\ (0.021) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.326^{* * *} \\ (0.081) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.884^{* * *} \\ (0.084) \end{gathered}$ |
| N | 9800 | 9800 | 9800 | 9800 | 9800 | 9800 |
| adj. R2 | -0.000 | 0.058 | 0.548 | 0.549 | 0.547 | 0.551 |

## THE COMPOSITION OF DEBT IN THE US

Total Debt Balance and its Composition
Trillions of Dollars


## Hypothetical versus reported MPC and MPRD

Distribution of hypothetical and reported marginal propensities


## EXTERNAL VALIDITY

- What about external validity?
- Revisit empirical evidence in:

1. Japelli-Pistaferri-2014 (Italy) Table
$\rightarrow$ MPC decreasing in debt
2. Christelis-Georgarakos-Jappelli-Pistaferri-Van Rooij-2019 (Netherlands)
$\rightarrow$ MPC decreasing in debt
$\rightarrow$ MPRD increasing in debt
3. Parker-Souleles-Johnson-Mcclelland-2013 (CEX)
$\rightarrow$ Low power, weak evidence for non-monotonic decrease of MPC in debt
$\rightarrow$ Robust evidence for role of (liquid) debt in consumption response

## CO-HOLDING SHARES OVER TIME



## CO-HOLDING BALANCE CHECKS

## Comparison of co-holders and non co-holders in the SCE

| Variable | Co-holders |  |  |  | Not co-holders |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | p25 | p50 | p75 | Mean | p25 | p50 | p75 |
| Age | 45.81 | 36.00 | 46.00 | 55.00 | 46.56 | 36.00 | 48.00 | 57.00 |
| Female | 0.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.51 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| College degree | 0.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Financial literacy | 5.62 | 5.00 | 6.00 | 7.00 | 5.22 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 7.00 |
| Income | 107.69 | 55.00 | 80.00 | 134.00 | 109.63 | 30.00 | 56.00 | 100.00 |
| Liquid assets | 25.26 | 2.58 | 8.00 | 25.00 | 14.84 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9.00 |
| Liquid debt | 12.57 | 2.00 | 5.00 | 12.00 | 8.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.40 |
| Liquid wealth | 12.69 | -5.00 | 2.00 | 17.50 | 6.60 | -4.40 | 0.00 | 9.00 |
| Liquid and fin. assets | 77.82 | 5.00 | 15.00 | 60.00 | 59.76 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 25.00 |
| Non-housing debt | 38.07 | 7.00 | 20.00 | 50.00 | 35.01 | 0.00 | 8.80 | 30.00 |
| Total assets | 459.69 | 125.00 | 290.00 | 597.00 | 451.56 | 5.50 | 155.20 | 405.00 |
| Total debt | 132.78 | 15.60 | 75.00 | 195.00 | 155.22 | 3.00 | 30.00 | 120.00 |
| Total wealth | 327.11 | 26.35 | 160.00 | 420.00 | 293.37 | -4.25 | 65.00 | 270.30 |
| Home-owner | 0.80 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Mortgage-owner | 0.52 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Observations | 962 |  |  |  | 1812 |  |  |  |

## MARGINAL PROPENSITIES ACROSS HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS

Regressions of Marginal Propensities on Household Characteristics

|  | in 'OOO USD |  |  |  |  |  | Standardized |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spend | Save | Repay Debt | Spend | Save | Repay Debt | Spend | Save | Repay Debt | Spend | Save | Repay Debt |
| Liquid Assets | $\begin{gathered} 0.009 \\ (0.016) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.240^{* * * *} \\ (0.027) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline-0.249^{* * *} \\ (0.024) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.011 \\ (0.016) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.224^{* * *} \\ & (0.028) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline-0.234^{* * *} \\ (0.025) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.733 \\ (1.275) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.683^{* * *} \\ (2.135) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -19.359^{* * *} \\ (1.898) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.866 \\ (1.280) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.406^{* * *} \\ (2.172) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -18.214^{* * *} \\ (1.946) \end{gathered}$ |
| Liquid Debt | $\begin{gathered} -0.209^{* * *} \\ (0.037) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.656^{* * *} \\ (0.059) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.866^{* * *} \\ (0.078) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.225^{* * *} \\ (0.038) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.693^{* * *} \\ (0.059) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.919^{* * *} \\ & (0.075) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -13.166^{* * *} \\ (2.321) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -41.442^{* * *} \\ (3.747) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54.671^{* * *} \\ (4.902) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -14.191^{* * *} \\ (2.403) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -43.744^{* * *} \\ (3.723) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57.993^{* * *} \\ (4.733) \end{gathered}$ |
| Illiquid Assets |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.000 \\ & (0.000) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.000^{* *} \\ & (0.000) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.000 \\ & (0.000) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.322 \\ & (0.317) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.179^{* *} \\ & (0.380) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.847 \\ & (0.588) \end{aligned}$ |
| Illiquid Debt |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.004^{* *} \\ & (0.001) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.003 \\ (0.002) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.006^{* *} \\ (0.002) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7.799^{* *} \\ & (2.726) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.441 \\ (4.499) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -13.285^{* *} \\ (4.607) \end{gathered}$ |
| Income |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.001 \\ & (0.000) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.005^{* * *} \\ (0.001) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.004^{* * *} \\ (0.001) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.244 \\ (0.139) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.573^{* * *} \\ & (0.214) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.331^{* * *} \\ (0.224) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mortgager |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -5.537^{* * *} \\ (1.469) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.330 \\ (2.304) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.195 \\ (2.434) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -5.537^{* * *} \\ (1.469) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.330 \\ (2.304) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.195 \\ (2.434) \end{gathered}$ |
| Homeowner |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -3.194 \\ (1.759) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.279^{* *} \\ & (2.600) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -5.154 \\ (2.657) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -3.194 \\ & (1.759) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.279^{* *} \\ & (2.600) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -5.154 \\ (2.657) \end{gathered}$ |
| Moderate fin. literacy |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.225 \\ (2.000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.739 \\ (3.210) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -2.671 \\ (3.427) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.225 \\ (2.000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.739 \\ (3.210) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -2.671 \\ (3.427) \end{gathered}$ |
| High fin. literacy |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2.853 \\ (2.226) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.745 \\ (3.540) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -3.284 \\ (3.812) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2.853 \\ (2.226) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.745 \\ (3.540) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -3.284 \\ & (3.812) \end{aligned}$ |
| N | 2648 | 2648 | 2648 | 2528 | 2528 | 2528 | 2648 | 2648 | 2648 | 2528 | 2528 | 2528 |
| $R^{2}$ | 0.056 | 0.161 | 0.195 | 0.069 | 0.177 | 0.211 | 0.056 | 0.161 | 0.195 | 0.069 | 0.177 | 0.211 |

## Marginal propensities across distribution of liquid assets
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## Marginal propensities across the joint distribution
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