

Knowing your Lemon before You Dump it

Alessandro Pavan Jean Tirole



Motivation

- Strategic situations where decision to “engage” carries information
 - trade
 - partnerships
 - entry
 - marriage
 - ...
- Lemons (Akerlof)
 - negative inferences
- Anti-lemons (Spence)
 - positive inferences
- **Endogenous information**
 - acquisition
 - cognition

This Paper

- Generalized lemons (and anti-lemons)
 - **endogenous information**
- Information choices
 - type of strategic interaction
 - **opponent's beliefs over selected information** (**expectation conformity**)
 - effect of information on severity of adverse selection
 - effect of friendliness of opponent's reaction on value of information
- **Expectation traps**
- **Disclosure and Cognitive Style**
- **Welfare and policy**

- **Endogenous info in lemons problem**
 - Dang (2008), Thereze (2022), Lichtig and Weksler, (2023)
→ EC, \neq bargaining game, timing, CS (gains from interaction, disclosure, policy)
- **Payoffs in lemons problem**
 - Levin (2001), Bar-Isaac et al. (2018), Kartik and Zhong (2023)...
→ incentives analysis
- **Policy in lemons mkts**
 - Philippon and Skreta (2012), Tirole (2012), Dang et al (2017)...
→ endogenous information
- **Endogenous info in private-value bargaining**
 - Ravid (2020), Ravid, Roesler, and Szentes (2021)...
→ lemons problem, competitive mkt
- **Expectation conformity**
 - Pavan and Tirole (2022)
→ different class of games (generalized lemons and anti-lemons)
- **Mandatory disclosure laws**
 - Pavan and Tirole (2023a)
→ endogenous information

Plan

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Model
- 3 Expectation Conformity
- 4 Expectation Traps
- 5 Policy Interventions
- 6 Flexible Information

Model

- **Players**

- Leader
- Follower

- **Choices**

- Leader:
 - information structure, ρ
 - two actions:
 - **adverse-selection-sensitive**, $a = 1$ (engage)
 - adverse-selection insensitive, $a = 0$ (not engage)
- Follower:
 - reaction, $r \in \mathbb{R}$

- **State**

- $\omega \sim$ prior G
- mean: ω_0

- **Payoffs**

- **leader**: $\delta_L(r, \omega) \equiv u_L(1, r, \omega) - u_L(0, \omega)$
 - affine in ω
 - increasing in r (higher r : friendlier reaction)
 - decreasing in ω
 - benefit of friendlier reaction (weakly) increasing in state: $\frac{\partial^2 \delta_L}{\partial \omega \partial r} \geq 0$
- **follower**: $\delta_F(r, \omega) \equiv u_F(1, r, \omega) - u_F(0, \omega)$
 - affine in ω

Akerlof Example

- Leader: **seller**
 - $u_L(1, r, \omega) = r$ (price)
 - $u_L(0, r, \omega) = \omega$ (asset value)
 - $\delta_L(r, \omega) = r - \omega$

- Follower: **competitive buyer**
 - $u_F(0, \omega) = 0$
 - $u_F(1, r, \omega) = \omega + \Delta - r$
 - $\delta_L(r, \omega) = u_F(1, r, \omega)$

- **Information structure:** $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_+$
 - **cdf $G(m; \rho)$ over posterior mean m** (mean-preserving-contraction of G)
 - $C(\rho)$: cost of information

Definition

Information structures consistent with **MPS order** (mean-preserving spreads) if, for any $\rho' > \rho$, any $m^* \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{m^*} G(m; \rho') dm \geq \int_{-\infty}^{m^*} G(m; \rho) dm$$

with $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G(m; \rho') dm = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G(m; \rho) dm = \omega_0$.

- For any (ρ, r) , leader engages (i.e., $a = 1$) iff

$$m \leq m^*(r)$$

with

$$\delta_L(r, m^*(r)) = 0$$

- $r(\rho)$: eq. reaction in fictitious game with exogenous information ρ
- **Assumption (lemons):**

$$\frac{dr(\rho)}{d\rho} \stackrel{\text{sgn}}{=} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} M^-(m^*(r(\rho)); \rho)$$

where

$$M^-(m^*; \rho) \equiv \mathbb{E}_{G(\cdot; \rho)}[m | m \leq m^*]$$

Akerlof Example

- Engagement threshold: $m^*(r) = r$
- Equilibrium price $r(\rho)$: solution to

$$r = M^-(r; \rho) + \Delta$$

- Lemons: $\frac{dr(\rho)}{d\rho} \stackrel{\text{sgn}}{=} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} M^-(m^*(r(\rho)); \rho)$
 - always if $G(m; \rho)/g(m; \rho)$ increasing in m

Plan

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Model
- 3 **Expectation Conformity**
- 4 Expectation Traps
- 5 Policy Interventions
- 6 Flexible Information

Expectation Conformity

Effect of cognition on adverse selection

- $r(\rho)$: eq. reaction with exogenous cognition ρ
- $M^-(m^*; \rho) \equiv \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{m^*} mdG(m; \rho)}{G(m^*; \rho)}$

Definition

Information

- **aggravates adverse selection** if $\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} M^-(m^*(r(\rho)); \rho) < 0$
- **alleviates adverse selection** if $\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} M^-(m^*(r(\rho)); \rho) > 0$

Effect of information on adverse selection

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} M^-(m^*; \rho) \stackrel{\text{sgn}}{\equiv} A(m^*; \rho)$$

where

$$A(m^*; \rho) \equiv [m^* - M^-(m^*; \rho)] G_\rho(m^*; \rho) - \int_{-\infty}^{m^*} G_\rho(m; \rho) dm$$

with $G_\rho(m; \rho) \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} G(m; \rho)$

- Two channels through which cognition affects AS:
 - **prob. of trade**, $G_\rho(m^*; \rho)$
 - **dispersion of posterior mean**, $\int_{-\infty}^{m^*} G_\rho(m; \rho) dm$
- $A(\rho) \equiv A(m^*(r(\rho)); \rho)$: **adverse-selection effect**

Effect of unfriendlier reactions on value of information

- L 's payoff when actual cognition is ρ and reaction is r :

$$\Pi(\rho; r) = G(m^*(r); \rho) \delta_L(r, M^-(m^*(r); \rho))$$

- **Benefit of friendlier reaction effect**

- ρ : actual information
- ρ^\dagger : anticipated information (by F)

$$B(\rho; \rho^\dagger) \equiv -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho \partial r} \Pi(\rho; r(\rho^\dagger))$$

- Starting from $r(\rho^\dagger)$, reduction in r
 - raises value of information at ρ if $B(\rho; \rho^\dagger) > 0$
 - lowers value of information at ρ if $B(\rho; \rho^\dagger) < 0$

Effect of unfriendlier reactions on value of information

$$B(\rho; \rho^\dagger) = -\frac{\partial \delta_L(r, m^*(r(\rho^\dagger)))}{\partial r} G_\rho(m^*(r(\rho^\dagger)); \rho) + \int_{-\infty}^{m^*(r(\rho^\dagger))} \frac{\partial^2 \delta_L(r, m)}{\partial r \partial m} G_\rho(m; \rho) dm$$

- Two channels through which, starting from $r(\rho^\dagger)$, reduction in r affects value of information at ρ :
 - prob. of trade, $G_\rho(m^*(r(\rho^\dagger)); \rho)$
 - dispersion of posterior mean, $\int_{-\infty}^{m^*(r(\rho^\dagger))} \frac{\partial^2 \delta_L(r, m)}{\partial r \partial m} G_\rho(m; \rho) dm$

Expectation Conformity

- L 's value function when actual information is ρ and F expects ρ^\dagger :

$$V_L(\rho; \rho^\dagger) \equiv \Pi(\rho; r(\rho^\dagger))$$

Definition

Expectation conformity holds at (ρ, ρ^\dagger) iff

$$\frac{\partial^2 V_L(\rho; \rho^\dagger)}{\partial \rho \partial \rho^\dagger} > 0$$

Key forces...

- $A(\rho^\dagger) \stackrel{\text{sgn}}{=} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} M^-(m^*(r(\rho^\dagger))); \rho^\dagger$: **adverse-selection effect**

- $B(\rho; \rho^\dagger) = -\frac{\partial^2 \Pi(\rho; r(\rho^\dagger))}{\partial \rho \partial r}$: **benefit-of-friendlier-reactions effect**

Expectation Conformity

Proposition

Assume MPS order.

(i) EC at (ρ, ρ^\dagger) iff $A(\rho^\dagger)B(\rho; \rho^\dagger) < 0$.

(ii) Information aggravates AS at ρ^\dagger (i.e., $A(\rho^\dagger) < 0$) for Uniform, Pareto, Exponential $G(\cdot; \rho)$, or, more generally, when $G_\rho(m^*(r(\rho^\dagger)); \rho^\dagger) < 0$.

(iii) Lower r raises incentive for information at (ρ, ρ^\dagger) (i.e., $B(\rho; \rho^\dagger) > 0$) if $G_\rho(m^*(r(\rho^\dagger)); \rho) < 0$.

(iv) Therefore EC at (ρ, ρ^\dagger) if

$$\max \left\{ G_\rho(m^*(r(\rho^\dagger)); \rho^\dagger), G_\rho(m^*(r(\rho^\dagger)); \rho) \right\} < 0$$

(v) Suppose, for any m^* , $M^-(m^*; \rho)$ decreasing in ρ (e.g., Uniform, Pareto, Exponential) and $\partial^2 \delta_L(r, m) / \partial r \partial m = 0$ (e.g., Akerlof). Then, $G_\rho(m^*(r(\rho^\dagger)); \rho) < 0$ NSC for EC at (ρ, ρ^\dagger) .

Plan

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Model
- 3 Expectation Conformity
- 4 **Expectation Traps**
- 5 Policy Interventions
- 6 Flexible Information

Expectation Traps

Expectation Traps

Proposition

Suppose ρ_1 and $\rho_2 > \rho_1$ are eq. levels and information aggravates AS, i.e., $A(\rho) < 0$ for all $\rho \in [\rho_1, \rho_2]$. Then L better off in low-information equilibrium ρ_1 . Converse true when information alleviates AS, i.e., $A(\rho) > 0$.

Expectation Traps

- **Expectation traps**
 - driven by AS effect
 - friendliness of F 's reaction decreasing in L 's information
 - expectation traps emerge even if information is free
- Contrast to private values + screening (Ravid et al. 2022)
 - equilibria Pareto ranked
 - eq. payoffs increasing in informativeness of the signal

Plan

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Model
- 3 Expectation Conformity
- 4 Expectation Traps
- 5 **Policy Interventions**
- 6 Flexible Information

Policy Interventions

Subsidies to Trade

- Welfare (competitive F):

$$W \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{m^*} (\delta_L(r, m) + s) dG(m; \rho) - C(\rho) - (1 + \lambda)sG(m^*; \rho)$$

where

- s : subsidy to trade
 - λ : cost of public funds (DWL of taxation)
-
- Subsidy impacts:
 - engagement, m^*
 - friendliness of F 's reaction, r
 - cognition, ρ

Subsidies: Akerlof

- Subsidies optimal in Akerlof model when
 1. Small cost λ of public funds
 2. Information aggravates AS ($A(\rho) < 0$)
 3. CS of eq. same as BR: Subsidies reduce information acquisition

- Proposition 6 (in paper) identifies precise conditions for optimality of subsidies/taxes in generalized lemons/anti-lemons problems.

Subsidies: Double Dividend

Corollary

In Akerlof model, endogeneity of information calls for larger subsidy when information reduces prob. of trade.

- Same condition for EC
- **Double dividend** of subsidy
 - more engagement
 - less information acquisition
- **Implication for Gov. asset repurchases programs: more generous terms**

Plan

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Model
- 3 Expectation Conformity
- 4 Expectation Traps
- 5 Policy Interventions
- 6 **Flexible Information**

Flexible Information

Flexible information

- **Entropy** cost of cognition:

- ρ parametrizes MC of entropy reduction (alternatively, capacity)
- L invests in ability to process info (MC or capacity)
- then chooses experiment $q : \Omega \rightarrow \Delta(Z)$ at cost

$$\frac{1}{\rho} c(I_q)$$

where I_q is mutual information between z and ω

- **Max-slope** of stochastic choice rule:

- ρ parametrizes max slope of stochastic choice rule $\sigma : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$ specifying prob. she engages
- L chooses ρ at cost $C(\rho)$
- then selects experiment $q : \Omega \rightarrow \Delta(Z)$ and engagement strategy $a : Z \rightarrow [0, 1]$ among those inducing stochastic choice rule with slope less than ρ

- **Key insights similar to those under MPS order**

Conclusions

- Endogenous information in mks with adverse selection
- Expectation conformity
 - prob of engagement decreasing in information
 - large gains from interaction
- Expectation traps
- Welfare and policy implications
 - endogeneous info: larger subsidies

Conclusions

- Ongoing work:
 - bilateral information acquisition
 - public information disclosures
 - ...

THANKS!

