An Anatomy of the 2022 Gilt Market Crisis

Gabor Pintér (BoE)

EEA 2023

28 Aug 2023

The views expressed are those of the author and not necessarily those of the Bank of England or its committees.

Introduction

'It was not quite a Lehman moment. But it got close.' (Sep 2022, Senior London-based banker)

Contribution and Data

- Contribution:
 - Detailed account of a liquidity crisis through the joint analysis government bond, repo and swap markets
 - Identify individual clients sharpens the analysis (compared to Falato, Goldstein, and Hortacsu (2021); O'Hara and Zhou (2021); Kargar, Lester, Lindsay, Liu,Weill, and Zuniga (2021); Haddad, Moreira, and Muir (2021); Ma, Xiao, and Zeng (2022) among others)

Contribution and Data

- Contribution:
 - Detailed account of a liquidity crisis through the joint analysis government bond, repo and swap markets
 - Identify individual clients sharpens the analysis (compared to Falato, Goldstein, and Hortacsu (2021); O'Hara and Zhou (2021); Kargar, Lester, Lindsay, Liu,Weill, and Zuniga (2021); Haddad, Moreira, and Muir (2021); Ma, Xiao, and Zeng (2022) among others)
- Datasets:
 - Government Bond Market: all secondary market trades from the MIFID II dataset
 - Repo Market: Sterling Money Market Data (SMMD), a proprietary dataset of the Bank of England
 - Inflation and Interest Rate Swaps: EMIR TR data
 - Legal Entity Identifiers (LEIs) allows a consistent merge across these markets

Overview

- Extreme stress in gilt markets during 23 Sep 14 Oct 2022
- At its centre: highly leveraged, liability-driven investment (LDI) strategies of certain pension funds and asset managers
- Sudden worsening of repo and swap positions (collateral and margin calls) forced them to quickly liquidate gilts for cash.
- Selling pressures and market illiquidity → yield spikes and extreme orderflows → Bank of England intervention within days to restore market functioning (Breeden, 2022; Hauser, 2022).

Nominal Yields 5Y, 20Y, 40Y Maturities



Gilt Sales by the LDI Sector



Liability Hedging

• LDI strategies originally employed for hedging liabilities of defined benefit pension schemes.

- LDI strategies originally employed for hedging liabilities of defined benefit pension schemes.
- Example: a pension fund to deliver £100 in 40 years in real terms; expected inflation is 2% and discount rate is 3%.

- LDI strategies originally employed for hedging liabilities of defined benefit pension schemes.
- Example: a pension fund to deliver £100 in 40 years in real terms; expected inflation is 2% and discount rate is 3%.
- $PV \approx 67.6$, i.e. the pension fund needs to hold this amount to deliver the required cashflow later (typically holds less than that)

- LDI strategies originally employed for hedging liabilities of defined benefit pension schemes.
- Example: a pension fund to deliver £100 in 40 years in real terms; expected inflation is 2% and discount rate is 3%.
- $PV \approx 67.6$, i.e. the pension fund needs to hold this amount to deliver the required cashflow later (typically holds less than that)
- Two main risks that can increase the present value: (i) lower discount rate and (ii) higher inflation

- LDI strategies originally employed for hedging liabilities of defined benefit pension schemes.
- Example: a pension fund to deliver £100 in 40 years in real terms; expected inflation is 2% and discount rate is 3%.
- $PV \approx 67.6$, i.e. the pension fund needs to hold this amount to deliver the required cashflow later (typically holds less than that)
- Two main risks that can increase the present value: (i) lower discount rate and (ii) higher inflation
- So pension schemes use (i) inflation-linked bonds (repo financed)

- LDI strategies originally employed for hedging liabilities of defined benefit pension schemes.
- Example: a pension fund to deliver £100 in 40 years in real terms; expected inflation is 2% and discount rate is 3%.
- $PV \approx 67.6$, i.e. the pension fund needs to hold this amount to deliver the required cashflow later (typically holds less than that)
- Two main risks that can increase the present value: (i) lower discount rate and (ii) higher inflation
- So pension schemes use (i) inflation-linked bonds (repo financed),
 (ii) interest rate swaps [paying the floating rate]

- LDI strategies originally employed for hedging liabilities of defined benefit pension schemes.
- Example: a pension fund to deliver £100 in 40 years in real terms; expected inflation is 2% and discount rate is 3%.
- $PV \approx 67.6$, i.e. the pension fund needs to hold this amount to deliver the required cashflow later (typically holds less than that)
- Two main risks that can increase the present value: (i) lower discount rate and (ii) higher inflation
- So pension schemes use (i) inflation-linked bonds (repo financed), (ii) interest rate swaps [paying the floating rate] and (iii) inflation swaps [receiving inflation]

Net Positions in the OIS Market (22 Sep, 2022)

LDIs are the largest payer of floaters



Net Positions in Overnight Index Swaps

Net Positions in the Inflation-swap Market (22 Sep, 2022)

LDIs are the largest buyer of inflation



Net Positions in Inflation Swaps

Net Positions in the Repo Market (22 Sep, 2022)

LDIs are the largest borrowers



Net Positions in Nominal Gilt Repos

Liability-Driven Investment Leverage (Cunliffe, 2022)

Diagram 1: Illustrative change in assets and liabilities for a DB pension fund using LDI to hedge its liabilities, with impact of an increase in long-term gilt yields



Source: Bank of England

6 Main Results

- **1** Pre-crisis swap and repo positions of LDIs **predictive** of gilts sales
- Selling pressure started in linkers (across all maturities) followed by nominals (mid maturities) → consistent price pressures
- **3** firms generated over 70% of LDI gilt sales to dealers
- Transaction costs soared
 - concentrated in smaller trade sizes, at smaller dealers, at clients other than LDIs (\rightarrow illiquidity **spillovers**)
 - stronger trading relationships mitigated these cost hikes
- Oispersion of transaction prices jumped (large price differentials across dealers ↔ intermediation frictions)
- Hedge funds profited greatly

Result 1: Role of Pre-crisis Funding Positions



Result 2: Evidence on Price Pressure



Result 2: Evidence on Price Pressure

Mispricing in UK Inflation Markets (Fleckenstein-Longstaff-Lustig, 2014)



Result 2: Evidence on Price Pressure

Mispricing in Inflation Markets (Barria-Pinter, 2023)



Result 3: A few large sellers



Concentration in UK Interest Rate Derivative Markets Pinter-Walker (2023)



Figure 11: Concentration in UK Interest Rate Derivatives Markets: Top 5 Notional vs Rest

Gabor Pinter (EEA 2023)

2022 Gilt Market Crisis

Result 4: Transaction Cost Heterogeneity

Measurement

Measuring transaction costs (O'Hara and Zhou (2021)) for each trade
 v:

$$Cost_{\nu} = \left[\ln \left(P_{\nu}^{\star} \right) - \ln \left(\overline{P} \right) \right] \times \mathbf{1}_{B,S}, \tag{1.1}$$

where:

- P_v^{\star} is the transaction price,
- $\mathbf{1}_{B,S}$ buy-sell indicator
- \overline{P} is a benchmark price (hourly quoted price from Datastream)

Result 4: Transaction Cost Heterogeneity

Small vs Large Dealers



Result 5: Dispersion of Transaction Prices

• Measuring total dispersion (Jankowitsch, Nashikkar, and Subrahmanyam (2011)) :

$$D_{T} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\nu}^{N} \left(\ln\left(P_{\nu}^{\star}\right) - \ln\left(\overline{P}\right) \right)^{2}}, \qquad (1.2)$$

Result 5: Dispersion of Transaction Prices

• Measuring total dispersion (Jankowitsch, Nashikkar, and Subrahmanyam (2011)) :

$$D_{T} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\nu}^{N} \left(\ln \left(P_{\nu}^{\star} \right) - \ln \left(\overline{P} \right) \right)^{2}}, \qquad (1.2)$$

• The decomposition of total dispersion 1.2 is then written as:

$$D_{T}^{2} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{v}^{N} \left(\ln\left(P_{v}^{\star}\right) - \ln\left(\ddot{P}\right) \right)^{2}}_{within-dealer} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{v}^{N} \left(\ln\left(\ddot{P}\right) - \ln\left(\overline{P}\right) \right)^{2}}_{cross-dealer}, \quad (1.3)$$

where \ddot{P} is the average hourly transaction price at the dealer where trade v is executed.

Gabor Pinter (EEA 2023)

2022 Gilt Market Crisis

Result 5: Heightened Dispersion of Transaction Prices



Result 6: Hedge Fund Returns - Measurement

• *T*-day-horizon return on each hedge fund trade on day *t* (Di Maggio, Franzoni, Kermani, and Sommavilla (2019)) for each trade *j*:

$$Performance_{j}^{T} = \left[\ln \left(P^{T} \right) - \ln \left(P_{j}^{\star} \right) \right] \times \mathbf{1}_{B,S}, \quad (1.4)$$

- we then aggregate at the hedge fund sector day level (using unweighted or size weighted) averages
- we experiment with horizon T = 1, 3, 6 days

Result 6: Cumulative Hedge Fund Returns



Gabor Pinter (EEA 2023)

Result 6: Hedge Funds' Timing of Liquidity Provision

Hedge Fund Orderflow and Yield Dynamics



() Big 3 sellers \longrightarrow Macroprudential policy or microprudential policy !?

- **()** Big 3 sellers \longrightarrow Macroprudential policy or microprudential policy !?
- Level of leverage vs structure of leverage ?! (Pinter-Siriwardane-Walker, 2023) Leverage Structures

- Big 3 sellers \longrightarrow Macroprudential policy or microprudential policy !?
- Level of leverage vs structure of leverage ?! (Pinter-Siriwardane-Walker, 2023) Leverage Structures
- Sole of bond supply new issuance during the crisis !? Bond Issuances

- Big 3 sellers \longrightarrow Macroprudential policy or microprudential policy !?
- Level of leverage vs structure of leverage ?! (Pinter-Siriwardane-Walker, 2023) Leverage Structures
- 8 Role of bond supply new issuance during the crisis !? Bond Issuances
- Distribution of interest rate risk in non-bank financial intermediaries (NBFIs) (Pinter-Walker, 2023) Interest Rate Risk

- Big 3 sellers \longrightarrow Macroprudential policy or microprudential policy !?
- Level of leverage vs structure of leverage ?! (Pinter-Siriwardane-Walker, 2023) Leverage Structures
- 8 Role of bond supply new issuance during the crisis !? Bond Issuances
- Distribution of interest rate risk in non-bank financial intermediaries (NBFIs) (Pinter-Walker, 2023) Interest Rate Risk
- Will dealers manage to intermediate rapidly increasing government debt ?! (Duffie, 2020)

- Big 3 sellers \longrightarrow Macroprudential policy or microprudential policy !?
- Level of leverage vs structure of leverage ?! (Pinter-Siriwardane-Walker, 2023) Leverage Structures
- Sole of bond supply new issuance during the crisis !? Bond Issuances
- Distribution of interest rate risk in non-bank financial intermediaries (NBFIs) (Pinter-Walker, 2023) Interest Rate Risk
- Will dealers manage to intermediate rapidly increasing government debt ?! (Duffie, 2020)
- Was the BoE intervention optimal ?
 - beyond reduced-form regressions \rightarrow structural equilibrium model \rightarrow policy counterfactuals (Gavazza-Pinter-Uslu (2023))

Gabor Pinter (EEA 2023)

2022 Gilt Market Crisis

Need for New Theory?!

- A model of why liquidity providers (e.g. hedge funds) stayed away
 - **Q** 2 shocks: fundamental shock (e.g. fiscal) and liquidity (e.g. LDI)
 - average liquidity provider cannot tell apart the two shocks
 - fear of fundamental shock scares them away
 - [lack of liquidity could also feed back to further erode the fundamental!?]
 - Which liquidity provider can tell apart the two shocks?
 - those who traded with dealers who absorbed the LDI flows!?

Need for New Theory?!

• A model of why liquidity providers (e.g. hedge funds) stayed away

- **1** 2 shocks: fundamental shock (e.g. fiscal) and liquidity (e.g. LDI)
- average liquidity provider cannot tell apart the two shocks
 - fear of fundamental shock scares them away
 - [lack of liquidity could also feed back to further erode the fundamental!?]

Solution which liquidity provider can tell apart the two shocks?

those who traded with dealers who absorbed the LDI flows!?

 \longrightarrow a model of $\underline{\text{learning}}$ – through $\underline{\text{relationships}}$ – about the $\underline{\text{nature of}}$ shocks

Need for New Theory?!

• A model of why liquidity providers (e.g. hedge funds) stayed away

- **1** 2 shocks: fundamental shock (e.g. fiscal) and liquidity (e.g. LDI)
- average liquidity provider cannot tell apart the two shocks
 - fear of fundamental shock scares them away
 - [lack of liquidity could also feed back to further erode the fundamental!?]
- Which liquidity provider can tell apart the two shocks?
 - those who traded with dealers who absorbed the LDI flows!?

 \longrightarrow a model of $\underline{\text{learning}}$ – through $\underline{\text{relationships}}$ – about the $\underline{\text{nature of}}$ shocks

- A model of (derivatives) portfolio choice: repo vs swaps
 - why the large variation over time?
 - why the large variation across investors?

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

Leverage Structures

Pinter-Siriwardane-Walker (2023)



Pooled vs Other LDI Funds

Pinter-Siriwardane-Walker (2023)





Sizeable Issuances during the Crisis

Operation Date	Gilt Name	Nom. Amount	Cash Raised
27-Sep-2022	0 1/8% Index-linked Gilt 2031	1,200	1,383
28-Sep-2022	11⁄2% Green Gilt 2053	4,500	2,352
4-Oct-2022	01⁄2% Treasury Gilt 2061	2,500	948
5-Oct-2022	1% Treasury Gilt 2032	3,750	2,852
11-Oct-2022	0 1/8% Index-linked Gilt 2051	1,106	871
12-Oct-2022	4 1/8% Treasury Gilt 2027	4,365	4,252
		17,422	12,658

Increasing Issuance Activity of the Years

Lou-Pinter-Uslu, 2022



Gabor Pinter (EEA 2023)

Fiscal-Monetary Interactions?

Lou-Pinter-Uslu, 2022



Go Back

Who Hedges Interest Rate Risk in NBFI sectors? Pinter-Walker (2023)

