State Repression, Exit, and Voice

Living in the Shadow of Cambodia's Killing Fields

Mathias Bühler & Andreas Madestam

31. August 2023

ESEM Barcelona

Motivation

- Over a billion people live in countries with a history of state repression, having suffered mass killing or political persecution
 - Cultural Revolution in China
 - Stalin's Soviet Union
 - Khmer Rouge in Cambodia
- Democratic progress has been slow
- Coercion still exists in many post-conflict societies
- ightarrow Difficult to assess the impact of historical state repression
- a) Do people have preferences for democracy (voice)?
- b) Are people afraid to express their beliefs publicly (*exit*)?
- c) Are economic and policy outcomes affected by *exit* or *voice*?

- \cdot We study the impact of historical state repression in Cambodia
- During a short window of reduced state coercion (2012-2017):
 - free and (relatively) fair elections
 - \cdot the authoritarian incumbent faced a clear opposition party
 - (relatively) free expression of beliefs
 - \Rightarrow peek into the individual responses to state repression:

- 1. How does state repression affect political beliefs and behavior?
 - ↑ *voice*: Citizens show preferences for democracy
 - ↑ *exit:* Citizens are more careful in expressing their views publicly

- 1. How does state repression affect political beliefs and behavior?
 - ↑ *voice:* Citizens show preferences for democracy
 - ↑ *exit:* Citizens are more careful in expressing their views publicly
- 2. How does the use of exit & voice affect policy making?
 - Politicians extract rents from holding office without accountability
 - · voice: increases electoral accountability
 - exit: less civic participation decreases accountability
 - \downarrow Fewer rents for politicians as electoral accountability dominates

- 1. How does state repression affect political beliefs and behavior?
 - ↑ *voice:* Citizens show preferences for democracy
 - ↑ *exit:* Citizens are more careful in expressing their views publicly
- 2. How does the use of exit & voice affect policy making?
 - Politicians extract rents from holding office without accountability
 - · voice: increases electoral accountability
 - exit: less civic participation decreases accountability
 - ↓ Fewer rents for politicians as electoral accountability dominates
- 3. Why do these effects persist across generations?
 - ↑ Affected citizens are more afraid of violence
 - State repression created a *collective memory*
 - ↑ Ceremonies reinforce the *collective memory* of state repression

- 1. How does state repression affect political beliefs and behavior?
 - ↑ *voice:* Citizens show preferences for democracy
 - ↑ *exit:* Citizens are more careful in expressing their views publicly
- 2. How does the use of exit & voice affect policy making?
 - Politicians extract rents from holding office without accountability
 - · voice: increases electoral accountability
 - exit: less civic participation decreases accountability
 - ↓ Fewer rents for politicians as electoral accountability dominates
- 3. Why do these effects persist across generations?
 - ↑ Affected citizens are more afraid of violence
 - · State repression created a collective memory
 - ↑ Ceremonies reinforce the *collective memory* of state repression

Related literature

Political causes and consequences of violence

- Causes of one-sided violence (state repression) Besley & Persson 2011; Yanagizawa-Drott 2014, Rogall 2021
- Causes/consequences of two-sided violence (interstate and civil war) Bellows & Miguel 2009; Blattman 2009; Blattman & Miguel 2010 ; Voors et al. 2012; Bauer et al. 2016

How state-society relations shape political development

- State and civil society Martinez-Bravo et al. 2017; Acemoglu and Robinson 2018; Dell et al. 2018; Besley and Persson 2019, Tur-Prats & Valencia Caicedo 2020
- Persistence of preferences Alesina & Fuchs-Schündeln 2007; Nunn & Wantchekon 2011, Malmendier & Nagel 2011; Madestam & Yanagizawa-Drott 2011

Memory-based norms and salience

- Emergence of a collective memory Bordalo et al. 2012, 2020; Fouka & Voth, 2021
- Impact of collective memory Madestam et al. 2013; Depetris-Chauvin et al. 2020

Khmer Rouge and the genocide 1975-1978

- Collectivized economy banning money, markets, and private property
- Large parts of population displaced as KR aimed to transform economy via four-year plans to increase rice production
- Forced labor brought to work in large labor camps across Cambodia





Communal eating hall and labor camp site in 1977-78

Khmer Rouge and the genocide 1975-1978

- Hierarchical military command governed country and camps
 - · committees organized production, deploying work brigades
 - Political committees organized propaganda and confession sessions: neighbors rewarded for informing on neighbors, friends for informing on friends, and children for informing on parents
- Many areas close to camps eventually known as *Killing Fields* as people died from execution, starvation, and overwork





Mass graves



State Repression, Exit, and Voice

Killing Fields today

• Annual ceremonies held at grave sites to remember violence and also used by long-term incumbent to legitimize new regime

"Remains of those killed during Democratic Kampuchea will not be cremated because they remain the only evidence of the regime" (Hun Sen)

• Sites used for political meetings during election years



Contemporary Stupa with remains of victims



- cost of dissent: Fear of persecution or violence
- *support for pluralism*: favor democracy to avoid concentration of power

- endogeneity: individuals are targeted based on political views



PLAN FOR RICE PRODUCTION THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY DURING THE PERIOD 1977 - 1980										
Zone and Region	1977	1978	1979	1980	Total For Four Years					
1. NW	1.620.0007	1,900,000T	2.250.000T	2,603,0007	8,370,000T					
2. East	1,290,000T	1.410.000T	1,510,000T	1,620,000T	5,830,000T					
3. SW	1, 140, 000T	1,210,000T	1, 320, 000T	1,440,000T	5, 110, 000T					
4. North	695,000T	758,000T	935,000T	912,000T	3,200,000T					
5. West	432,000T	450,000T	480,000T	510,000T	1,872,000T					
6. NE	73,000T	78,000T	84,000T	90,000T	335,000T					
7. Region 106	306,000T	336,000T	366,000T	384,000T	1,392,000T					
8. Region 103	42.000T	48.000T	54,000T	60,000T	204,000T					
9. Centre Armed Forces	18,000T	24,000T	30,000T	35,000T	108,0007					
10. Zone Armed Forces	39,000T	54,000T	66,000T	90,000T	249,000T					
Total:	5,555,000T	6,268,000T	6,995,000T	7,7 ¹ 2,000T	26,560,000T ^a					

TABLE 3

^a Total rice produced. Total production for fields harvested twice per year is figured as 6 tons per hectare; ordinary fields harvested once per year is estimated at 3 tons per hectare.

Notes: Example of a rice production plan across different regions of Cambodia From: Pol Pot Plans

the Future Confidential Leadership Documents from Democratic Kampuchea, 1976-1977.

The Khmer Rouge and Productivity



- 1. Khmer Rouge's four year plan:
 - Identify high productive regions
- 2. Identify local productivity during KR using rainfall:
 - "Heavy rains in September and October are essential. (yet) Too much rain causes flooding in the lower fields." (Nesbitt, 1997, p. 16)
 - calculate standardized rainfall z_c during the wet-season
 - Validate relationship using modern survey data

Empirical strategy Validation: Rainfall \rightarrow Productivity

Figure 1: Rice yields and standardized rainfall

Table 1: Rice yields and productivity across different seasons

(1) (2) (3)	(4)
Standardized yields	
The set of	
Productive during wet season 0.061*** (0.025) [0.018]	0.061*** (0.027) [0.018]
Productive during growing season -0.002 (0024) [0035]	-0.011 (0.029) [0.037]
Productive during dry season 0.024 (0.032) [0.038]	0.021 (0.032) [0.039]
Pre-genocide commune	
characteristics Yes Yes Yes	Yes
-4 -2 0 2 4 6 Observations 3,738 3,738 3,738	3,738

- 1. Khmer Rouge's four year plan:
 - Identify high productive regions
- 2. Identify local productivity during KR using rainfall:
 - "Heavy rains in September and October are essential. (yet) Too much rain causes flooding in the lower fields." (Nesbitt, 1997, p. 16)
 - calculate standardized rainfall *z*_c during the wet-season
 - Validate relationship using modern survey data
- 3. Approximate KR allocation rule by

State Repression_c = $\mathbb{I}\left[z_{c}^{^{KR}} \leq z_{p}^{^{KR}}\right]$

send labor to areas with (relatively) less rainfall \Rightarrow higher productivity during the wet-season

Empirical strategy Validation: Rainfall \rightarrow State Repression

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
	#Bc	#Bodies		#Mass graves		memorial	Standardized violence		
State Repression	377.914***	388.624***	8.501***	8.001***	0.020***	0.022***	0.127***	0.135***	
	(171.222)	(150.530)	(3.529)	(3.188)	(0.011)	(0.010)	(0.045)	(0.043)	
	[141.584]	[138.740]	[2.909]	[2.847]	[0.008]	[0.008]	[0.033]	[0.031]	
Pre-genocide commune									
characteristics		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes	
Mean	407.873	407.873	7.094	7.094	0.035	0.035			
Observations	1,621	1,621	1,621	1,621	1,621	1,621	1,621	1,621	

Notes: The unit of observation is a commune. State Repression is a dummy variable equal to 1 if the commune experience above-average standardized province productivity during the vert season in the Khmer Rouge priord (1975-1977). "Bodies' is the number of data biddies recovered a didies recovered addies recove

per-capita measures of violence

Balance of covariates

Placebo

Summary (skipping intermediate results)



- → State Repression causes citizens to increase their support of democratic values in elections (*voice*) but to engage less in civil society (*exit*)
- → Increased electoral accountability decreases politicians' scope to extract rents

- \Rightarrow Effects persist across generations
 - No differences in:
 - \times population
 - × age composition
 - × education or school investments
 - \times assets or wealth
 - × rates of poverty or income inequality
 - × migration
 - × market access and public infrastructure
 - Differences in the perception of violence:
 - \times No difference in violence
 - $\checkmark\,$ People live in fear of violence
- \Rightarrow State Repression formed a collective memory

Collective memory

- A collective memory emerges when those without firsthand experience of an event identify with those who had
- A collective memory can influence a citizen's cultural identity (Halbwachs, 1992; Dessí, 2008; Assmann, 2011; Fouka & Voth, 2021)
- If true, a "connective structure" liking the historical political repression of the Khmer Rouge to the present should exist.

Collective memory

- A collective memory emerges when those without firsthand experience of an event identify with those who had
- A collective memory can influence a citizen's cultural identity (Halbwachs, 1992; Dessí, 2008; Assmann, 2011; Fouka & Voth, 2021)
- If true, a "connective structure" liking the historical political repression of the Khmer Rouge to the present should exist.
- \Rightarrow Two candidates:
 - Genocide memorials (stupas)
 "we just kept it [the remains] as evidence for a new generation to under stand ... just as evidence to know ... how cruel they [were] to their own
 people, to let the new generation understand about the government, of
 that leader, especially to understand, just know that, in that period they
 killed many, many people" Fleischman (2017, p. 190)
 - 2. Commemorative ceremonies

- Held annually on May 20th to commemorate the victims of the Khmer Rouge
- Community members, survivors, and school children participate in the ceremonies, which include dramatic reenactments of the Khmer Rouge period and the violence that was inflicted





- Ideal to test "connective structure" linking past to present
- Event is held outdoors
- \Rightarrow Rainfall on May 20th decreases attendance

 $y_{i,t} = \beta$ State Repression_c+

 δ State Repression_c \times Rainy Days of Anger +

 θ Rainy Days of Anger_c + $X_{c,t}^{'}$ + $X_{i}^{'}$ + $\Gamma_{c}^{'}$ + γ_{p} + ε_{i}

- β The effect of state repression on political beliefs and behavior
- $\delta~$ Reducing the collective memory's impact on political beliefs and behavior (via lower attendance)
- \Rightarrow If a collective memory exists, β and δ are of opposite signs

Collective memory: Genocide Memorials and the Day of Anger

	(1) Genocide	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
	memorial			Day of Anger			
		Voting B	ehavior	Political Beliefs and Civic Participation			
		Votes Opposi- tion	Turnout	Voter in- formed- ness	Local civic par- ticipation	Trust	
β : State Repression	0.022*** (0.010) [0.008]	5.661*** (1.154) [0.827]	5.895*** (1.469) [0.899]	0.137*** (0.053) [0.036]	-0.120*** (0.033) [0.031]	-0.257*** (0.040) [0.035]	
Rainy Days of Anger		0.423 (0.941) [0.801]	3.844 (1.602) [1.112]	0.035** (0.015) [0.014]	0.004 (0.017) [0.014]	-0.084*** (0.022) [0.015]	
δ : State Repression × Rainy Days of Anger		-2.698*** (1.108) [0.880]	-4.935*** (1.567) [1.186]	-0.038** (0.020) [0.016]	0.032* (0.019) [0.017]	0.081*** (0.020) [0.016]	
Individual characteristics Observations Mean	1,621 0.035	1,621 37.512	1,621 77.274	Yes 1,999 0.593	Yes 1,999	Yes 1,999	
β: State Repression + State Repression × Rainy Days of Anger		2.964 (0.869)	0.960 (1.453)	0.099 (0.036)	-0.089 (0.019)	-0.176 (0.027)	

Collective memory: Genocide Memorials and the Day of Anger

	(1) Genocide	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
	memorial			Day of Ange			
		Voting Behavior		Political Beliefs and Civic Participation			
		Votes Opposi- tion	Turnout	Voter in- formed- ness	Local civic par- ticipation	Trust	
β: State Repression	0.022*** (0.010) [0.008]	5.661*** (1.154) [0.827]	5.895*** (1.469) [0.899]	0.137*** (0.053) [0.036]	-0.120*** (0.033) [0.031]	-0.257*** (0.040) [0.035]	
Rainy Days of Anger		0.423 (0.941) [0.801]	3.844 (1.602) [1.112]	0.035** (0.015) [0.014]	0.004 (0.017) [0.014]	-0.084*** (0.022) [0.015]	
δ : State Repression x Rainy Days of Anger		-2.698*** (1.108) [0.880]	-4.935*** (1.567) [1.186]	-0.038** (0.020) [0.016]	0.032* (0.019) [0.017]	0.081*** (0.020) [0.016]	
Individual characteristics Observations	1,621	1,621	1,621	Yes 1,999	Yes 1,999	Yes 1,999	
Mean β: State Repression + State Repression × Rainy Days of Anger	0.035	37.512 2.964 (0.869)	77.274 0.960 (1.453)	0.593 0.099 (0.036)	-0.089 (0.019)	-0.176 (0.027)	

- 1. How does state repression affect political beliefs and behavior?
 - ↑ *voice:* Citizens show preferences for democracy
 - ↑ *exit:* Citizens are more careful in expressing their views publicly
- 2. How does the use of exit & voice affect policy making?
 - Politicians extract rents from holding office without accountability
 - · voice: increases electoral accountability
 - exit: less civic participation decreases accountability
 - ↓ Fewer rents for politicians as electoral accountability dominates
- 3. Why do these effects persist across generations?
 - ↑ Affected citizens are more afraid of violence
 - State repression created a *collective memory*
 - ↑ Ceremonies reinforce the *collective memory* of state repression

Contact me: mathias.buehler@econ.lmu.de Political Economy, Gender Economics, Economic History www.mathiasbuehler.com

Context: Cambodia pre-electoral democracy

1953-70

- $\cdot\,$ Independence (from France) and country ruled by King Sihanouk
- Increasing political tension as Vietnam War escalates and Sihanouk ousted by right-wing General Lon Nol in 1970

1970-75

- Sihanouk sides with KR against US-supported Lon Nol
- $\cdot\,$ Civil war and heavy bombings by US in support of Lon Nol

1975-79

- April 1975, KR captures Phnom Penh and ends civil war
- KRreign of terror continues until Vietnamese invasion in 1979

1979-91

- Ruled by CPPs predecessor PRK and occupied by Vietnamese
- Hun Sen prime minister in 1985
- Continued fighting with KR rebels until 1991 UN peace agreement

Context: Cambodia post-electoral democracy

1993

- First multi-party election, power shared between CPP and Royalist party, Hun Sen takes over through coup in 1997 1998-2012
 - Conflict with KR finally ends in 1998
 - Series of elections (1998, 2003, 2008) all won by CPP. In 2008, CPP gained 58% of popular vote

2012-2017

- Commune elections in 2012/2017 and national elections in 2013
- During 2012, two largest opposition parties form alliance, CNRP
- CNRPs platform incl higher public-sector wages, improved legal system, and combatting corruption

2017-

- Main opposition party, CNRP, banned and leader jailed
- Closing of newspapers and union leaders imprisoned
- National election in 2018 won by Hun Sen, with CPP capturing all 125 seats in the National Assembly

Empirical strategy Validation: state repression orthogonal

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
	Con	itrol	Treat	ment	(-)	Exogen	eity test	(-)
	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	β	s.e.	T-Stat	p-value
Pre-nenocide commune characteris	tics							
Commune with commune office	0.383	0.486	0.386	0.487	0.001	0.029	0.048	0.961
Commune with post office	0.017	0.131	0.016	0.125	-0.003	0.005	-0.529	0.597
Commune with school	0.670	0.471	0.705	0.456	0.026	0.025	1.046	0.296
Commune with telephone	0.004	0.061	0.006	0.078	0.002	0.003	0.579	0.563
log Population density	5.189	1.521	5.096	1.576	-0.024	0.133	-0.182	0.856
log Rice field area	5.691	2.841	6.239	2.430	0.392	0.349	1.123	0.261
log Area partially inundated	3.250	3.246	2.894	3.085	-0.125	0.247	-0.504	0.614
log Area covered by dense forests	4.081	3.941	3.911	3.594	-0.281	0.469	-0.599	0.549
log Commune area	3.864	1.619	3.814	1.152	-0.134	0.114	-1.173	0.241
log Distance to Phnom Penh	4.448	1.450	4.549	0.937	-0.067	0.069	-0.967	0.334
log Distance to closest road	0.397	1.416	0.387	1.465	0.032	0.116	0.272	0.786
log Distance to province capital	2.440	2.851	2.810	2.125	-0.003	0.103	-0.032	0.974
log Bomb load 1965-1973	4.932	3.356	4.630	3.188	0.095	0.236	0.402	0.688
log Potential yields (Rice)	1.013	0.014	1.015	0.013	0.000	0.000	0.850	0.395
log Potential yields (Banana)	0.397	0.660	0.401	0.586	0.019	0.028	0.680	0.496
log Potential yields (Coconut)	-0.157	1.660	-0.400	2.068	-0.021	0.092	-0.234	0.815
log Potential yields (Maize)	0.857	0.048	0.861	0.043	-0.000	0.001	-0.255	0.799

$X_c = \delta State Repression_c + \Gamma'_c + \gamma_p + \varepsilon_c$

Table 2: Main Findings and Alternative Production Shocks

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)
		State R	epression			$SR^i_{Z_c-Z_p}$				SF	$z_c - z_p$			SR ⁱⁱⁱ		
				FDR				FDR				FDR				FDR
				adj.				adj.				adj.				adj.
	beta	s.e.	p-value	p-	beta	s.e.	p-value	<i>p</i> -	beta	s.e.	p-value	p-	beta	s.e.	p-value	p-
Violence Indicators				value				value				value				value
#Bodies	388.624	138.740	0.005	0.007	155.189	50.585	0.002	0.007	347.416	120.825	0.004	0.003	1043.625	382.410	0.006	0.007
#Mass graves	8.001	2.847	0.005	0.007	3.929	1.531	0.010	0.012	6.971	2.369	0.003	0.003	24.186	6.862	0.000	0.002
Genocide memorial	0.022	0.008	0.004	0.007	0.012	0.004	0.001	0.007	0.012	0.005	0.011	0.006	0.033	0.018	0.065	0.025
Bodies per capita	1.266	0.461	0.006	0.007	0.609	0.211	0.004	0.008	1.229	0.324	0.000	0.001	3.602	1.196	0.003	0.005
Bodies per sqkm	7.517	4.913	0.126	0.019	2.879	2.416	0.233	0.091	5.578	4.660	0.231	0.017	32.333	9.932	0.001	0.004
Mass graves per capita	0.026	0.013	0.055	0.018	0.014	0.008	0.076	0.047	0.023	0.009	0.015	0.006	0.079	0.033	0.015	0.012
Mass graves per sqkm	0.261	0.077	0.001	0.003	0.117	0.040	0.003	0.008	0.191	0.063	0.003	0.003	0.682	0.176	0.000	0.001
log Bodies	0.184	0.076	0.015	0.009	0.081	0.046	0.075	0.047	0.193	0.055	0.000	0.001	0.323	0.207	0.119	0.036
log Bodies, per capita	0.073	0.024	0.002	0.006	0.029	0.015	0.049	0.043	0.072	0.018	0.000	0.001	0.153	0.064	0.017	0.012
log Bodies, per sqkm	0.148	0.039	0.000	0.001	0.070	0.022	0.001	0.007	0.139	0.031	0.000	0.001	0.306	0.104	0.003	0.005
log Mass graves	0.110	0.036	0.002	0.006	0.043	0.024	0.069	0.047	0.089	0.026	0.001	0.001	0.244	0.114	0.033	0.019
log Mass graves, per capita	0.015	0.006	0.014	0.009	0.007	0.004	0.052	0.043	0.012	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.043	0.015	0.003	0.005
log Mass graves, per sqkm	0.054	0.012	0.000	0.001	0.024	0.007	0.001	0.007	0.038	0.009	0.000	0.001	0.134	0.028	0.000	0.001
Body count \geq 500	0.024	0.009	0.007	0.007	0.009	0.006	0.122	0.071	0.024	0.007	0.000	0.001	0.045	0.025	0.073	0.025

Notes: The unit of observation is a commune for the results on Violence Indicators, factions, and Benz Exerction and the survey regondents for the results on Policical Benzley, Cvic Participation, Perception of Violence as a Problem in Cambolia, and Comming on garding carbonic scale and Benz Exerctions and Henz Exerctions and Henz Exerction and the survey regondents for the results on Policical Benzley, Cvic Participation, Perception of Violence and Benzle Benzley. The Survey Facult Scale Benzley and Scale Benzley Benzley Scale Benzley Benzle

Table 3: Main Findings and Alternative Production Shocks

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)
		State R	epression			$SR^i_{Z_c-Z_p}$			$SR_{Z_c-Z_p}^{ii}$					5	R _{zc}	
				FDR				FDR				FDR				FDR
				adj.				adj.				adj.				adj.
	beta	s.e.	p-value	p-	beta	s.e.	p-value	p-	beta	s.e.	p-value	p-	beta	s.e.	p-value	p-
				value				value				value				value
Political Beliefs, Civic Par	ticipatio	n, and Pei	rception of	Violence	as a Prol	olem in C	ambodia									
Voter informedness	0.070	0.021	0.001	0.001	0.054	0.015	0.000	0.001	0.046	0.022	0.034	0.018	0.105	0.055	0.054	0.028
Support for pluralism	0.044	0.012	0.000	0.001	0.021	0.006	0.000	0.001	0.021	0.008	0.011	0.011	0.073	0.024	0.003	0.006
Local civic participation	-0.074	0.018	0.000	0.001	-0.039	0.012	0.001	0.001	-0.042	0.014	0.003	0.007	-0.133	0.051	0.009	0.009
Trust	-0.120	0.028	0.000	0.001	-0.079	0.016	0.000	0.001	-0.083	0.017	0.000	0.001	-0.321	0.082	0.000	0.001
Perception of violence	0.091	0.034	0.007	0.002	0.063	0.025	0.012	0.003	0.053	0.029	0.066	0.028	0.129	0.078	0.100	0.042
National Elections																
Vote share CNRP	4.872	0.573	0.000	0.001	2.926	0.302	0.000	0.001	3.309	0.338	0.000	0.001	13.278	1.851	0.000	0.001
Vote share CPP	-4.201	0.593	0.000	0.001	-2.555	0.310	0.000	0.001	-2.868	0.333	0.000	0.001	-11.112	1.841	0.000	0.001
Turnout	2.870	1.212	0.018	0.010	1.199	0.770	0.119	0.051	1.510	0.890	0.090	0.038	3.056	3.115	0.327	0.151
Absolute Majority CPP	-0.155	0.025	0.000	0.001	-0.090	0.010	0.000	0.001	-0.102	0.013	0.000	0.001	-0.401	0.072	0.000	0.001
Margin CPP-CNRP	-1.723	1.250	0.168	0.035	-1.412	0.768	0.066	0.035	-1.709	0.855	0.046	0.024	-4.639	3.636	0.202	0.113

Notes: The unit of observation is a commune for the results on Violence Indicators, Elections, and Benc Ediraction and the survey regioneters to the results on Political Beiling, Circ Participation, Proception of Violence and Commune operiner close down-energies standardized province productively units in the time Rouge perine (PG S1997) 55, *eff.*, 27, eff. and Bench B



Adjusting Political Beliefs, Civic Participation, and Perception of Violence for Multiple Hypothesis Testing

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
	W	ith individua	l characterist	tics		Summan	y statistics	
				FDR adj.	Less State	Repression	More State	Repression
	beta	s.e.	p-value	p-value	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.
Category: Voter informedness								
Can name representative	0.055	0.017	0.002	0.012	0.116	0.321	0.182	0.386
Know parties are different	0.003	0.052	0.946	0.683	2.715	1.239	2.744	1.255
Know whether representative visited	0.030	0.020	0.134	0.202	0.194	0.396	0.229	0.420
Know role of parties in assembly	0.020	0.022	0.372	0.307	0.305	0.461	0.347	0.476
Understands purpose of democracy	0.025	0.025	0.319	0.307	0.580	0.494	0.542	0.499
Frequency: Listen to radio	0.058	0.032	0.076	0.146	1.798	0.873	1.818	0.876
Frequency: Watch TV	0.124	0.049	0.012	0.038	2.870	1.411	3.028	1.451
z-score	0.070	(0.021)						
Category: Support for pluralism								
All Political parties should hold events	0.027	0.013	0.061	0.106	0.905	0.203	0.976	0.265
Government and neonle are equals	0.061	0.030	0.170	0.100	0.366	0.482	0.636	0.496
Democracy preferred to strong leader	0.005	0.016	0.707	0.380	0.90%	0.295	0.892	0.311
One can vote against the government	-0.026	0.070	0.193	0.198	0.856	0.353	0.839	0.368
Not voted because told to vote	0.020	0.019	0.113	0.157	0.054	0.335	0.057	0.180
Domosracy omnowers poople	0.020	0.019	0.026	0.105	0.141	0.269	0.127	0.245
Women make own choice in voting	0.036	0.012	0.005	0.058	0.858	0.340	0.889	0.316
Women as a representative	0.020	0.076	0.376	0.030	1.020	0.001	1 115	0.902
Would like to see more women	0.039	0.030	0.274	0.224	0.966	0.301	0.956	0.095
Record top list place for women	0.012	0.036	0.042	0.106	0.544	0.400	0.570	0.406
Reserved top list place for women	0.046	(0.024	0.042	0.100	0.342	0.499	0.570	0.490
2-score	0.044	(0.012)						
Category: Local civic participation								
Member of #civil associations (CA)	-0.112	0.042	0.008	0.009	0.416	0.910	0.346	0.825
Took part in a meeting of a CA	-0.039	0.019	0.044	0.023	0.218	0.413	0.208	0.422
Helped reach a decision of a CA	-0.037	0.012	0.002	0.004	0.129	0.336	0.120	0.325
Local government affects my life	-0.130	0.033	0.000	0.001	0.535	0.499	0.434	0.496
Would report election crime	-0.097	0.054	0.072	0.030	3.228	1.065	3.170	1.129
z-score	-0.074	(0.018)						
Category: Trust								
Trust in neighborhood	-0.186	0.059	0.002	0.004	2,485	0.702	2.257	0.780
Trust in general	-0.037	0.022	0.090	0.048	0.196	0.397	0.202	0.402
z-score	-0.120	(0.028)						
Category: Percention of violence								
Riggert Broblem in Cambodia: Violence	0.012	0.007	0.076	0.040	0.020	0.171	0.024	0.191
Biggest Problem in Commune Violonce	0.013	0.007	0.076	0.040	0.030	0.1/1	0.053	0.181
7-SCORE	0.022	(0.036)	0.004	0.009	0.039	0.195	0.000	0.224
L JUUIL	0.021	10.0.241						

Back

State Repression, Exit, and Voice

Table 4: Population, Age, and Education

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)				
		Population	Census 1998			Population:	Census 2008					
	log Pop-	log Pop-	log Pop-	log Pop-	log Pop-	log Pop-	log Pop-	log Pop-				
	ulation	ulation	ulation	ulation	ulation	ulation	ulation	ulation				
	≤ 15	∈ [10,19]	∈ [15,64]	density	≤ 15	∈ [10,19]	∈ [15,64]	density				
State Repression	0.013	0.002	0.001	0.002	0.038	0.027	0.026	0.031				
	(0.036)	(0.040)	(0.042)	(0.039)	(0.038)	(0.040)	(0.044)	(0.042)				
	[0.031]	[0.033]	[0.034]	[0.034]	[0.035]	[0.036]	[0.039]	[0.037]				
			Age: Car	nbodia Socio-Ec	onomic Survey	1996-2016						
	Age ∈ [0,9]	Age ∈ [10,19]	Age ∈ [20,29]	Age ∈ [30,39]	Age ∈ [40,49]	Age ∈ [50,59]	Age ∈ [60,69]	Age ∈ [70,79]				
State Repression	0.002	-0.003	0.001	0.001	-0.002	0.000	-0.000	0.000				
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)				
	[0.002]	[0.002]	[0.002]	[0.001]	[0.001]	[0.001]	[0.001]	[0.001]				
	Education: Cambodia Socio-Economic Survey 1996-2016											
	Can read	Can write	Speaking	Speaking	Lower	Upper	Bachelor	Years of				
			English	French	sec-	sec-		educa-				
					ondary	ondary		tion				
					school	school						
State Repression	0.003	0.004	-0.004	-0.001	0.000	-0.001	-0.003*	0.003				
	(0.007)	(0.006)	(0.005)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.070)				
	[0.003]	[0.004]	[0.002]	[0.001]	[0.001]	[0.001]	[0.001]	[0.033]				
Pre-genocide commune												
characteristics	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Observations population	1,570	1,570	1,570	1,570	1,614	1,614	1,614	1,614				
Mean population	7.822	7.307	8.039	4.870	7.716	7.378	8.276	4.906				
Observations age	393,591	393,591	393,591	393,591	393,591	393,591	393,591	393,591				
Mean age	0.208	0.237	0.181	0.128	0.103	0.074	0.042	0.020				
Observations education	266,586	266,600	347,794	347,794	289,062	289,062	289,062	289,062				
Mean education	0.710	0.736	0.065	0.019	0.017	0.027	0.020	5.762				

Note: The surt of observation is a nommune guarye respondent in the upper (middle and lower) reas. Stark Repression is a dummy available equal to 1 if the stark in the stark of model and lower) reas. Stark Repression is a dumm, available equal to 1 if the stark in the log of the commune population belows? The stark and the stark repression is a dummy reas. The reas is a dumm reas. The reas is a dummy reas. The reas is

Table 5: Assets and Consumption

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
	Rooms	log Farm	log Size	log Con-	log Food	log	log Total	log
	per	value	of farm	sumption	expendi-	Non-food	expendi-	Alcohol
	capita			per	ture per	expendi-	ture per	&
				capita	capita	ture per	capita	tobacco
						capita		expendi-
				A	ll			ture
State Repression	-0.001	0.069	-0.050	0.003	0.011	0.011	0.007	-0.054
	(0.004)	(0.271)	(0.152)	(0.019)	(0.016)	(0.028)	(0.018)	(0.093)
	[0.004]	[0.220]	[0.123]	[0.016]	[0.014]	[0.026]	[0.016]	[0.095]
				Never	moved			
State Repression	-0.008	0.266	0.051	0.016	0.029	0.037	0.021	-0.014
	(0.006)	(0.238)	(0.153)	(0.024)	(0.022)	(0.040)	(0.022)	(0.279)
	[0.006]	[0.209]	[0.119]	[0.025]	[0.022]	[0.047]	[0.023]	[0.219]
Pre-genocide commune								
characteristics	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Survey-year fixed effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Individual characteristics	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Mean all	0.378	8.329	4.852	8.361	7.870	6.735	8.259	0.700
Observations all	52,222	68,938	68,938	77,201	77,105	77,119	77,205	49,336
Mean never moved	0.32	12.446	7.477	7.766	7.318	5.869	7.636	1.129
Observations never moved	11,241	13,659	13,659	18,745	18,735	18,720	18,747	6,153

Notes: The unit of observation is a survey respondent. State Repression is a dummy variable equal to 1 if the commune experienced above-average standardized province productivi individual-level data. The row names define the sample used: "All includes the full sample, and 'Never moved' only includes individuals that never moved from the current residence. 'Rooms per capita' is the number of rooms in a house other than a kitchen, toilet or bathrooms divided by the household size, 'log farm value' is the log of the cost (in Cambodan riel) of a similar pict of farm land had it been sold in the village today, 'log Size of farm is the log of the area in square meters of a pict of land, 'log Consumption per capita' is the log of the monetary value (in Cambodan riel) of coda divided by the household size, 'log Faor Value' is the log of the monetary value (in Cambodan riel) of coda divided by the household size, 'log Faor Value' is the last welve months divided by the household size, 'log Foor Offence' is the log of the monetary value (in Cambodan riel) of coda nor food expenditure per capita' is the log of the monetary value (in Cambodan riel) of coda the size, 'log Faor Value' is the log of the monetary value (in a cambodan riel) of coda the size. 'log Faor Value' is the log of the monetary value (in a cambodan riel) of coda the size. 'log Faor Value' is the log of the monetary value (in a cambodan riel) of coda the size. 'log Faor Value' is the log of the monetary value (in Cambodan riel) of coda nor hood execmeditures or non-food terms' is the log of the monetary value (in Cambodan riel) of coda non-food execmeditures or capita' is the log of the monetary value (in Cambodan riel) of not and non-food execmeditures or non-food terms' is the log of the monetary value (in Cambodan riel) of not and non-food execmeditures or non-food terms' is the log of the monetary value (in Cambodan riel) of not and non-food execmeditures or non-food terms' is the log of the monetary value (in Cambodan riel) of not and non-food execme

Table 6: Poverty and Income Inequality

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
	Poverty rate (Head Count Ratio)		Pover	Poverty gap		severity	Gini coefficient	
	10	atio)						
State Repression	-0.009	-0.006	-0.005	-0.004	-0.003	-0.002	0.001	0.001
	(0.016)	(0.011)	(0.007)	(0.005)	(0.004)	(0.003)	(0.004)	(0.004)
	[0.015]	[0.010]	[0.007]	[0.005]	[0.004]	[0.003]	[0.003]	[0.004]
Pre-genocide commune								
characteristics		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes
Observations	1,470	1,470	1,470	1,470	1,470	1,470	1,470	1,470
Mean	0.388	0.388	0.119	0.119	0.052	0.052	0.304	0.304

Notes: The unit of observation is a commune. State Repression is a dummy variable equal to 1 if the commune experienced above-average standardized province productivity during the verte season in the Khme Ruage period (1975-977). "Noverly tate (Head Count Rabic) is proportion of the commune population living below the poverly line, "Poverty servity" is the square of the poverly gap rative to the poverly line, "Poverly servity" are interesting and the state of the source of the poverly line, "Poverly servity" are interesting and the source of the poverly gap rative to the poverly line, "Poverly servity" is the square of the poverly gap rative to the poverly line, and "Gini coefficient" is the degree of income inequality in the commune. Near denotes the mean in communes without state repression. Province fixed effects, a second-degree polynomial in latitude and longitude, and pre-genocide commune characteristics are included in all regressions. Standard errors clustered by 26 provinces are shown in parentheses and corrected for spatial dependence within 1 degree in brackets. Symbols reflect the significance level for spatially corrected standard errors." p < 0.01.

Table 7: Migration

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
	Returned 1	979/1980	Returne	d 1979	Return after	displacement	In village	during KR
	Alive during the Khmer Rouge period							
State Repression	0.004	0.011	-0.004	0.001	0.001	0.004	0.021	0.011
	(0.013)	(0.012)	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.007)	(0.016)	(0.016)
	[0.012]	[0.013]	[0.009]	[0.009]	[0.007]	[0.007]	[0.014]	[0.014]
			Older tha	an 18 during	g the Khmer R	ouge period		
State Repression	0.007	0.018	-0.004	0.002	0.007	0.012	0.027*	0.008
	(0.015)	(0.016)	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.009)	(0.017)	(0.016)
	[0.014]	[0.016]	[0.010]	[0.011]	[0.008]	[0.009]	[0.014]	[0.014]
Pre-genocide commune								
characteristics	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Survey-year fixed effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Individual characteristics		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes
Mean alive during KR	0.219	0.205	0.163	0.150	0.071	0.062	0.426	0.415
Observations alive during KR	75,112	60,707	75,112	60,707	75,112	60,707	75,112	60,707
Mean older than 18 during KR	0.281	0.271	0.209	0.194	0.092	0.082	0.421	0.399
Observations older than 18 during KR	33,245	23,671	33,245	23,671	33,245	23,671	33,245	23,671

Notes: The unit of observation is a survey respondent. State Repression is a dummy variable equal to 1 if the commune experienced above-warge standardized province productively during the vest asson in the kniner Rouge period (1975-1971). Here, vec) calconstitutes a separate regression of the productively measure on the dependent variable in the head using individual vector warge standardized previous factor and the standard vector of the productively measure on the dependent variable in the head using individual vector and the standard vector of the productively measure on the dependent variable in the head using individual vector and the standard vector of the productively measure on the dependent variable in the head using individual vector and the standard state of the vector of the v

Table 8: Market Access, Public Infrastructure, and School Characteristics

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
			Market	access and p	ublic infrast	tructure		
	Distance	Distance	Distance	Distance	Distance	% Pop	% Pop	% Pop
	to food	to bank	to exten-	to market	to agri-	with	with	with
	store		sion		cultural	electric-	piped	public
			worker		market	ity	water	hospital
State Repression	-0.337	-0.136	-1.159	-0.385	-0.217	0.008	-0.003	0.028
	(0.493)	(0.675)	(1.100)	(0.666)	(0.653)	(0.017)	(0.020)	(0.019)
	[0.493]	[0.645]	[1.010]	[0.620]	[0.591]	[0.014]	[0.013]	[0.019]
				School cha	racteristics			
	Distance	Village	Director	log p.c.	Enroll-	#	Student-	Number
	to school	with	with	School	ment	Teachers	teacher-	of
		school	degree	income	rate		ratio	classes
State Repression	0.060	0.081	0.002	0.041	0.881	0.573	0.601	-0.085
	(0.059)	(0.229)	(0.002)	(0.069)	(1.004)	(3.526)	(1.627)	(0.314)
	[0.074]	[0.170]	[0.002]	[0.058]	[0.941]	[3.286]	[1.647]	[0.274]
D								
Pre-genocide commune								
characteristics	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Survey-year fixed effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Mean market access	6.272	10.698	18.123	7.060	7.190	0.370	0.272	0.119
Observations market access	3,593	3,665	3,724	3,684	3,614	3,812	3,812	3,027
Mean school characteristics	1.370	6.404	0.002	8.529	39.705	53.023	41.727	7.908
Observations school characteristics	1,593	1,621	1,543	1,436	4,518	1,592	1,592	1,592

Notes: The unit of observation is a commune. State Repression is a dummy variable equal to 1 if the commune experienced above-average standardized province productivity during the wet season in the Khmer Rouee period (1975-1977). 'Distance to x' is the distance in kilometers from home to the nearest x, where x-food store, bank, extension worker, market, and aericultural market.

Table 9: Night-Time Lights and Public Investments

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	Maximum	Any night-time	Night-time	Market	Accessibility of	Radio station
	night-time	light 2013	light in 2013	density	the nearest	in commune
	light				health facility	
State Repression	-1.128	0.025	-0.216	-0.020	0.027	0.022
	(0.970)	(0.029)	(0.613)	(0.028)	(0.030)	(0.019)
	[0.805]	[0.018]	[0.458]	[0.032]	[0.033]	[0.018]
Pre-genocide commune						
characteristics	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Controlling for 1992 value		Yes	Yes			
Observations	1,621	1,621	1,621	1,621	1,621	1,621
Mean	9.404	0.409	7.164	0.424	0.688	0.881

Notes: The unit of observation is a commune. State Repression is a dummy variable equal to 1 if the commune experienced above-average standardized province productivity during the vet season in the theme Rouge period (195-197). Waimum night-time light is the highest observed mean luminosity in the commune, Any night-time light in 2013 is a dummy variable equal to 1 if the mean in 2013 was non-zero, Market density is the number of larger business areas in the commune, Accessibility of the nearest health facility is an index variable where 0 represents immediate access and 22 (the maximum) represents no access to the nest health post, health centre, or referral hospital in the commune, and 'Radio station in commune's a dummy variable equal to 1 if there is a local radio station broadcasting in the commune. Yand' denotes the mean in communes and 'Radio station in commune's a decrement of respective static access and 22 (the maximum) represents no access to the nest health post, health centre, or referral hospital in the commune, Area and 'denotes the mean in communes without state repression. Province fixed effects, a second-degree polynomial in latitude and longitude, and pre-genocide commune characteristics are included in all regressions. Standard errors the 20.40, provinces are shown in parentheses and corrected for spatial dependence within 1 degree in backets. Symbol centeel the significance level for spatial dependence ors: y = 0.01, pre > 0.05, " pre > 0.01.

Appendix: Fear of Violence

	(1) Perce	(2) ived problem	(3) s facing Car	(4) nbodia	(5)	(6) Presence of v	(7) /iolent event	(8) S
	Violence	Economic issues	Institu- tional issues	Health and edu- cation issues	GDELT	UCDP	ACLED	GDELT + UCDP + ACLED
		A	ll					
State Repression	0.091*** (0.039) [0.034]	-0.020 (0.013) [0.013]	0.009 (0.012) [0.011]	-0.016 (0.018) [0.015]	-0.003 (0.016) [0.016]	-0.016 (0.018) [0.014]	-0.011 (0.009) [0.009]	-0.025 (0.020) [0.018]
	Alive	during the Kh	imer Rouge	period				
State Repression	0.097* (0.058) [0.051]	-0.021 (0.016) [0.016]	0.005 (0.014) [0.012]	-0.018 (0.024) [0.017]				
	Born	after the Khr	ner Rouge p	period				
State Repression	0.094* (0.056) [0.054]	-0.019 (0.015) [0.014]	0.020 (0.022) [0.017]	-0.019 (0.022) [0.023]				
Observations	1,999	1,999	1,999	1,999	1,621	1,621	1,621	1,621
Observations alive during KR	1,321	1,321	1,321	1,321				
Observations born after KR Mean	681	681	681	681	0.148	0.070	0.052	0.222

Zone and Region	1977	1978	1979	1980	Total For Four Years
1. NW 2. East 3. SW 4. North 5. Weat 6. NE 7. Region 106 8. Region 103 9. Centro Armed Forces 10. Zono Armed Forces	1,620,000T 1,290,000T 1,140,000T 695,000T 432,000T 306,000T 42,000T 18,000T 18,000T	1,900,0007 1,410,0007 756,0007 756,0007 76,0007 336,0007 336,0007 46,0007 24,0007 54,0007	2,250,000T 1,510,000T 1,320,000T 935,000T 480,000T 366,000T 54,000T 30,000T 66,000T	2,663,000T 1,623,000T 1,440,000T 912,000T 90,000T 324,000T 60,000T 35,000T 95,000T	8, 370, 0007 5, 530, 0007 5, 110, 0007 3, 200, 0007 1, 572, 0007 335, 0007 1, 392, 0007 204, 0007 108, 0007 249, 0007
Total:	5,555,000T	6,268,000T	6,995,000T	7,7 ² 2,000T	26,560,0007 ^a

TABLE 3 PLAN FOR RICE PRODUCTION THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY DURING THE PERIOD 1977 - 1980

^a Total rice produced. Total production for fields harvested twice per year is figured as 6 tons per hectare; ordinary fields harvested once per year is estimated at 3 tons per hectare.

Notes: Example of a rice production plan across different regions of Cambodia From: Pol Pot Plans

the Future Confidential Leadership Documents from Democratic Kampuchea, 1976-1977.

TABLE 10

YEARLY RICE HECTARAGE - E ZONE

Region	Rice Hectarage Cultivated Once A Year	Hectarage o	of No. 1 Riceland, Cul Ee Increase	1 Riceland, Cultivatod Twice & Year Which Must Ee Increased Each Year		
r		1977	1978	1979	1980	
20 21 22 23 24	125,000 ha 54,000 ha 80,000 ha 160,000 ha 92,000 ha 100\$	8,000 ha 10,000 ha 10,000 ha 5,000 ha 7,000 ha 11%	12,000 15,000 15,000 8,000 10,000	15,000 20,000 20,000 10,000 12,000 22\$	20,000 25,000 25,000 12,000 14,000 27\$	

- In all there are 511,000 hectares, but we calculate that 350,000 hectares are cultivated once, producing three tons per hectare per year.

- Concerning No. 1 land, good land cultivated twice, with water and sufficient fertilizer, a target would be six tons per hectare per year. This land must be expanded in every Region, every year.

Notes: Example of a rice production plan within the Eastern Zone of Cambodia From: Pol Pot Plans

the Future Confidential Leadership Documents from Democratic Kampuchea, 1976-1977.



Administrative Divisions of Democratic Kampuchea 1975-1979

Notes: Zones in Democratic Kampuchea From: Pol Pot Plans the Future Confidential Leadership

Documents from Democratic Kampuchea, 1976-1977.



Empirical strategy: Rainfall and State Repression, placebo estimates

