Management Opposition, Strikes and Union Threat

Patrick Nüß

Kiel University

EEA-ESEM 2023

Barcelona, Spain

August 29th 2023





• Unions bargain for wages and working conditions.

Germany's IR system is eroding.

- This is true for all dimensions of the IR system.
- Union density has fallen in almost every country.
- There are several explanations for this decline.



Source: J. Visser, ICTWSS Data base. version 6.0. Amsterdam: Amsterdam Institute for Advanced Labour Studies AIAS. November 2019.

Introduction 00●000	Experiment 1 000000000	Experiment 2 00000	Determinants 00000000	Conclusion
Questions and F	mpirical Strategy		CAU	
			Kiel University Christian-Albrechts-Univ	versität zu Kiel

Research Questions:

- Is there hiring discrimination against union members in Germany?
- How is hiring discrimination related to Germany's industrial relations system?

Empirical Strategy:

- Correspondence experiment sending 13000 fictitious job applications.
- Merge data of the German Socio-Economic Panel and of the Federal Employment Agency.
- Exploit regional and sectoral variation of labor disputes.



Is there hiring discrimination against union members in Germany?

- Union membership decreases callbacks on average by 15% (up to 50%).
- These results are robust independent of the way information are provided (CV & social media).

How is hiring discrimination related to Germany's industrial relations system?

- Hiring discrimination: ↑ with firm size, ↑ with union density and ↑ with labor dispute exposure.
- The industrial relations system is mainly eroding where management opposition is low and unions no longer have threat potential.

Introduction 0000●0	Experiment 1 00000000	Experiment 2 00000	Determinants 0000000	Conclusion
What do y	ve know already?		CAU	
What do we know already:			Kiel University Christian-Albrech	ts-Universität zu Kiel

Unfair treatment of union members has been a topic for a while.

Unions organizing

• E.g., Bronfenbrenner (1997), Behrens (2009), Aleks (2015), McNicholas et al. (2019), Heery and Simms (2010), Dinlersoz et al. (2014)

Management opposition

• E.g., Lawler and West (1985), Cooice (1985), Freeman and Kleiner (1990), Gall (2004), Behrens and Dribbusch (2018), Weinberg (2018), Wang and Young (2022), Kallas et al. (2023)

Hiring discrimination

• E.g., Leap et al. (1990), Saltzman (1995), Baert and Omey (2015), Kreisberg and Wilmers (2021)

But how representative are these observations?

Introduction 00000●	Experiment 1 00000000	Experiment 2 00000	Determinants 00000000	Conclusion
			<u> </u>	
Challenges to	Measure the T	rue Extent of Opposition	C A U Kiel University Christian-Albrechts-	Universität zu Kiel

Limitations of previous literature

- Potential bias due to survey demand effects and social desirability.
- Organizing literature: Faces selection issues (organizing is not random).
- Management opposition literature: biased due to selective measurement (unobservables).

This leads to difficulties in the quantification of overall opposition in an economy.

Correspondence experiments as a potential solution.

- Baert and Omey (2015) send 560 fictitious job applications in Belgium. (Find Discrimination)
- Kreisberg and Wilmers (2021) send 1025 fictitious job applications in the US. (No Discrimination)

Introduction 000000	Experiment 1 ●00000000	Experiment 2 00000	Determinants 00000000	Conclusion
			CAU	

Kiel University Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel

Experiment 1

Revealing Union Membership in the CV

Introduction 000000	Experiment 1 0●0000000	Experiment 2 00000	Determinants 0000000	Conclusion
Design of t	he Experiment		CAU	
			Kiel University	

The basic idea is...

- Send pairs of fictitious job applications to real vacancies.
- Monitor the callback rates of firms.

With low equal costs of opposition for all firms, we should be able to quantify the overall extent of opposition.

The experiment was carried out between August and October 2017, 2018 and 2019.

The main sample consists of 8714 applications (4357 firms).

Regions	2017	2018	2019	Occupations	2017	2018	2019
Berlin	х	х	Х	Office Clerk	х	х	х
North Rhine-Westphalia	х	Х	Х	Hotel Manager	х	х	х
Hamburg	х	х	Х	Logistic Worker	х	х	х
Bavaria	х	х	Х	Sales Manager	х	х	х
Saxony		х	Х	Mechatronic Technician		х	х
Baden-Wurttemberg		Х	Х				

Table: Overview of the Experimental Design

The Design of the Applications

The applicant

- Male applicants (27 to 28 years old)
- 10 years of work experience.
- With vocational training.

Application aspects

- Two applications were sent to each firm.
- Comparison with real applications.
- Randomized union membership (0/1)

Example resume Figure

Kiel University Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel

CAU

Kiel University stian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel

Example Resume

sssNama***		
*** Address ***	*****	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Phone *********	***Name**	
E-Mail: *********	Anotheit	*** Addessa***
	Munich 15 Phone	
	E-Mail	
Application as Hotel Manager to September 1st	lines.	** ** 1991 in Musich
	blacial france	Sade
Dear Mr ******	Work Experience	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a
	09 2015 - 06 2018	***Fim 2***
		Botsi Manager
		 Acticity 1
		 Actiony 2
	09/2030 - 08/2055	services (see
		Hotal Manager
		 Activity 3
		 Acticity 4
	Education	
	Lonconten	
	09/2008 - 08/2011	***Fim]***
		Approximation Hand Manager
		Orade 2.0
	.07.300	and the second second
		Secondary Disloma
		Orade 2.1
	Knowledge and	Competence
	Larguages	Deutsch - native
		Englisch - Sumr in spiken and written
	Software	Minmant Office Word Encel Perver Point
	27.5.552	DATEV
- Joame		LAP
Signature	1.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	
	DeiningLicence	Class B
	Habbys	Biking Swimming
	Memberships	DLBO, Trade Union



Kiel University stian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel

Example Resume

***:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::		***Name**	•
E-Mail *****	Munich 15	Anotheith Phone	*** Address ***
Application as Hotel Manager to September 1st		Born: Marial Statia	se en 1991 in Marich Singte
Dear Mr ******;		Work Experience	v
		89 2015 - 88 2018	Motel Manager Acticity 1 Acticity 1 Acticity 2
		88 2019 - 88 2015	Brend Manager Australian - Australian 3 - Australian 4
		Education	
		09 2008 - 08 2011	Appenditionhip Kimi Manager Orada: 2.0
		-01.000	new School
		Knowledge and	Competence
		Larguages	Deutsch - native Englisch - fluent in spiken and weiten
Xama*		Software	Monset Office Worl Encol. Press Point DATEV LAP Random assigned
		DrivingLisense	📟 Union Membership
		Habbyn	Bing Incoming
		Manbarships	Zi RD, Trade Union

Introduction 000000	Experiment 1 000000000	Experiment 2 00000	Determinants 00000000	Conclusion
			CAU	

Kiel University Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel

Experimental Results I

Is there Hiring Discrimination against Union Members?





Callback Category 1 when it is a clear invitation to a job interview. **Callback Category 2** when firms asked for further information.

Patrick Nüß (CAU Kiel)



Introduction 000000	Experiment 1 0000000●	Experiment 2 00000	Determinants 00000000	Conclusion
				<u></u>
Robustness (Checks		C A U Kiel University Christian-Albrect	nts-Universität zu Kiel

Heckman critique Results

- Differences in unobservables could bias experiments on hiring discrimination.
- Applying the Neumark Correction reveals no bias.

Weighting **Presults**

- Raw experimental data could give a wrong picture of management opposition.
- Limited differences in outcomes. (Small downward bias.)

Alternative signaling

- Revealing a union membership in a CV might be a misleading signal. (Readiness for conflicts or simple naivety?)
- New experiment reveals union membership via a Twitter account.

Introduction 000000	Experiment 1 00000000	Experiment 2 •0000	Determinants 0000000	Conclusion
			C A	U
			Kiel University	

Experiment 2

Revealing Union Sympathy via Social Media Accounts



Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel

Introduction 000000	Experiment 1 00000000	Experiment 2 00000	Determinants 00000000	Conclusion
				<u> </u>
Design of t Baseline:	he Experiment		C A Kiel University Christian-Albre	U chts-Universität zu Kiel
Stand	ard application. $(N = 579)$	9)		

Treatments:

- Union membership in CV. (N = 571)
- Email signature with Twitter Account. (N = 1696)
- Email signature with Twitter Account revealing a pro-union sentiment. (N = 1685)

Regions	2017	2018	2019	2021	Occupations	2017	2018	2019	2021
Berlin	х	х	х	х	Office Clerk	х	х	х	х
North Rhine-Westphalia	х	х	х	х	Hotel Manager	х	х	х	
Hamburg	Х	Х	Х	Х	Logistic Worker	х	Х	х	
Bavaria	Х	Х	Х	Х	Sales Manager	Х	Х	Х	
Saxony		Х	Х	Х	Mechatronic Technician		Х	х	
Baden-Wurttemberg		х	х	х					

Table: Overview of the Experimental Design

The Twitter Accounts

Kiel University Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel

Account Creation

• The Twitter accounts were created and active for 13 months before the begin of the experiment.

Account Activities

- Both Twitter accounts liked and retweeted news and content related to hobbies.
- The pro-union account regularly liked and retweeted from union accounts.

Table: Twitter Activity Schedule



Introduction 000000	Experiment 1 000000000	Experiment 2 00000	Determinants 0000000	Conclusion
			CAL	
			Kiel University Christian-Albrechts-Ui	

Experimental Results II

Is there Hiring Discrimination against Union Members when we reveal a Pro-Union Sentiment via Twitter Accounts?







Callbacks 1

- 05

Percentage Point Difference to Control Group

Callbacks 2

.05

- 1

-.15

Introduction 000000	Experiment 1 000000000	Experiment 2 00000	Determinants ●0000000	Conclusion
			CAL	J
			Kiel University	hte Universität zu Kiel

Experimental Results III

How is Hiring Discrimination related to Germany's Industrial Relation System?

- Where do we observe hiring discrimination?
- O labor disputes affect discrimination?
- How is it related to collective agreements?



Introduction 000000	Experiment 1 000000000	Experiment 2 00000	Determinants 00●00000	Conclusion 00
Union Threat			CAU	
Onion Threat			Kiel University Christian-Albrechts-Univ	versität zu Kiel

Union Threat is...

...the threat of the potential unionization of a firm and potential improvements in wages and working conditions.

Union threat is commonly approximated by variables of unions bargaining power such as...

- ... Union density.
- ...Firm size.

A common reaction to the union threat is to improve wages and working conditions.

Introduction 000000	Experiment 1 00000000	Experiment 2 00000	Determinants 0000000	Conclusion
Potential Determ	ninants l		CAU	
			Kiel University Christian-Albrechts-Univ	ersität zu Kiel

Firm size

• Taken from vacancy information.

Contract type

• Taken from vacancy information.

Labor market tightness

• $\frac{Vacancies}{Unemployed}Ratio$ based on data of the Federal Employment Agency.

Sector specific union density

 $\bullet \ \frac{Union \ Members}{Sector \ Employees}$ based on the German Socio-Economic Panel.



Kiel University Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel

(6) (1)(2) (3) (4) (5) -0.0745^{***} -0.0756^{+++} -0.0353^{***} -0.0369^{***} -0.0496^{***} -0.0473^{***} Union (0.0099)(0.0104)(0.0122)(0.0128)(0.0141)(0.0150)Firm Characteristics Union × Collective Agreement 0.0350** 0.0367** 0.0330** 0.0339** (0.0164)(0.0171)(0.0164)(0.0171)Union × Temporary Contract 0.0015 -0.0155 -0.0008 -0.0163 (0.0159)(0.0171)(0.0160)(0.0172)Firm Size (Reference Category: 6 to 50 Employees) Union × Smaller 6 Employees 0.0374** 0.0515** 0.0342° 0.0482** (0.0202)(0.0189)(0.0189)(0.0203)Union × 51 to 500 Employees 0.0059 -0.0022 0.0110 0.0030 (0.0146)(0.0156)(0.0149)(0.0158)Union × Larger 500 Employees -0.0361 -0.0704** -0.0297 -0.0643^{**} (0.0299)(0.0320)(0.0302)(0.0322)Labor Market Conditions -0.2332^{***} -0.2804^{***} -0.2197^{**} -0.2498^{***} Union × Union Density (0.0880)(0.0933)(0.0899)(0.0945)Union × V/U Ratio Normalized -0.0017 0.0083 0.0014 0.0076 (0.0063)(0.0063)(0.0062)(0.0063)Observations 8714 8714 8714 8714 8714 8714 Adjusted R^2 0.075 0.069 0.077 0.070 0.068 0.077

Table¹ Potential Determinants

* p < 0.1, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01.

Patrick Nüß (CAU Kiel)

Potential Determinants II

Introduction	Experiment 1	Experiment 2	Determinants	Conclusi
000000	00000000	00000	00000●00	00

CAU

Kiel University Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel



Notes: Days not worked due to industrial action (per 1000 employees). Source: Statistics of the Federal Employment Agency; Own Calculations.

Patrick Nüß (CAU Kiel)

Introduction Experiment 1 000000 00000000	Expe 000	oeriment 2 000	Determinants 000000●0	Conclusion
Effect of Strike Intensity	on Hiring Di	iscrimination	C A U Kiel University	

	Sector Variation (1) (2)		State and Se (3)	ctor Variation (4)
Union	-0.0582*** (-8.57)	-0.0646*** (-8.13)	-0.0573*** (-10.02)	-0.0655*** (-8.28)
Lost Working Days per 1000 Employees (Sec)	-0.0006 (-1.42)	-0.0005 (-1.23)		
Union \times Lost Working Days per 1000 Employees (Sec)	-0.0005 (-1.54)	-0.0005 (-1.27)		
Lost Working Days per 1000 Employees (Sec State)			-0.0006** (-2.48)	-0.0005 (-1.47)
Union \times Lost Working Days per 1000 Employees (Sec State)			-0.0006* (-1.67)	-0.0005 (-1.45)
Callback Rate Control Group Observations Adjusted R^2	0.403 8714 0.075	0.511 8714 0.086	0.403 8714 0.075	0.511 8714 0.086
Dependent Variables Basic Callbacks Strict Callbacks	1	\checkmark	√	\checkmark
Controls Baseline Controls	~	√	√	~

Table: Strikes effects on hiring discrimination

* p < 0.1, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01.



Figure: Share of Collective Agreements

Figure: Share no orientation on Collective Agreements





Is there hiring discrimination against union members in Germany?

- Union membership decreases callbacks on average by 15% (up to 50%).
- These results are robust independent of the way information are provided (CV & social media).

How is hiring discrimination related to Germany's industrial relations system?

- Hiring discrimination: ↑ with firm size, ↑ with union density and ↑ with labor dispute exposure.
- The industrial relations system is mainly eroding where management opposition is low and unions no longer have threat potential.

Introduction	Experiment 1	Experiment 2
000000	00000000	00000



Kiel University Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

🖾 Nuess@Economics.Uni-Kiel.de

💹 @PatrickNuess

https://sites.google.com/site/patricknuess



Overview ●	Experiment 1 000	Works Councils 000	Robustness Checks	Further Experiment 2	Heterogeneity 00000	Follow-Up: LMC

Appendix Overview

Robustness Checks

- Difference in Reservation Wages Results
- Heckman Critique Results
- Weighting Sensitivity Results
- Social Media Experiment Results
- Firm Size Effect Results

Other Aspects

- Application Example Results
- Sectoral Growth Results
- WSI Works Council Survey Results
- Labor Market Conditions Results

Follow-Up Experiment

Kiel University

Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel

0	•00	000	000	.IICCKS	0000	00000	00
Annend	iv 1 or	to Presentation + Bac	k to Appendix			CAU	
Append						Kiel University stian-Albrech	nts-Universität zu Kiel
		Jahara ***Jahara*** Pinna.********** E-Mai: Application asHotelManager to September 1st	Munich 15	***Name*** Asoloik Plose E-biol Bom Mati fonat	**************************************		
		Dear Mr ******;		Work Experience			
				09-2015-06-2018	Horel Manager Actiony 1 Actiony 1 Actiony 2		
				08 2010 - 08 2015	Hored Manager Activity 3 Activity 4		
				Education			
				08 2008 - 08 2011	Appendicating Hand Manager Oracle 2.0		
				-87 2008	nengdanodinen Becondary Diploma Orade 2.1		
				Knowledge and C	Competence		
				Largeages	Deutsch – native Englisch – Suent in speken and written		
		%ame		Software	Montant Office Wand, Encol. Power Point DATEV SAP		
				DeiningLiteste	Class B		
				Habbya	Biking Swimming		
				Manhanhips	DLBO, Dade Union		

Patrick Nüß (CAU Kiel)





Could Management Opposition be the result of sectoral growth?



No



Source: Second WSI survey on management hostility towards works councils (2015).

51 to 200

Excisting WC

201 to 500

501 and more

• Management opposition against unions increases with firm size.

5 to 20

employees

0%

• Opposition against works councils does not (Behrens and Dribbusch, 2018).

21 to 50

Election

Patrick Nüß (CAU Kiel)

Experiment 1 Works Councils 000

Appendix 3 - Relevance of Works Councils Pack to Appendix

Robustness Checks

Further Experiment 2

Follow-Up: LMC

AU CI

Kiel University Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel

Table: Employers Opposition to Works Council Rights Restricted Information Access Anv Participation (2)(3) 0.3911*** 0.1550*** 0.4351*** Constant (0.0673)(0.0535)(0.0667)Collective Agreement -0.0871^{***} -0.0576^{***} -0.0892^{***} (0.0171)(0.0137)(0.0170)Firm Size (Reference Category: Smaller 20 Employees) 20 to 49 Employees 0.0335 -0.01070.0181 (0.0643)(0.0520)(0.0638)50 to 499 Employees 0.0902 -0.0076 0.0668 (0.0619)(0.0500)(0.0614)Larger 499 Employees 0.0474 -0.0265 0.0331 (0.0646)(0.0519)(0.0641)-0.1407*** Union Density -0.1146^{**} -0.1272^{***} (0.0462)(0.0350)(0.0460)Union Density of the Works Council 0.1961*** 0.1283*** 0.1965*** (0.0243)(0.0309)(0.0308)Observations 3666 3666 3666 Adjusted R^2 0.032 0.014 0.030 Controls Sectoral FE

p < 0.1, p < 0.05, p < 0.05, p < 0.01.

WSI Works Council Survey 2015.



Source: Statistics of the Federal Employment Agency; Own calculations.

Patrick Nüß (CAU Kiel)



Full Sample
 Old Design Only
 New Design Only

Annandiy 6 Haakman Critique	Overview O	Experiment 1 000	Works Councils 000	Robustness Checks ○●○	Further Experiment 2	Heterogeneity 00000	Follow-Up: LMC 00
Annandiy 6 Haalyman Critique							
$ADDPD(1 X, D) = \squarePCK(D, 2D, V, (1), (0), (2) + Back to Presentation A > Back to Appendix$	Annend	lix 6 - Hec	kman Critia	IIA Back to Presen	tation > Back to Appendix	CAU	

Table: Neumark Correction Probit

	Callbacks 1	Callbacks 2
Probit estimates		
Union (marginal)	-0.0645*** (0.0272)	-0.0848*** (0.0283)
Heteroscedastic probit estimates		
Union (marginal)	-0.0654*** (0.0274)	-0.0854*** (0.0285)
Overidentification test: ratios of coefficients on skills for union relative to non-union are equal (p-value)	0.756	0.852
Standard deviation of unobservables, Union/Non-Union	0.961	0.977
Test: Homoscedastic vs. heteroscedastic probit (p-value, Wald test for equal variances)	0.487	0.686
Union-level (marginal)	-0.0602** (0.0279)	-0.0848*** (0.0285)
Union-variance (marginal)	-0.0052 (0.0075)	-0.0006 (0.0015)
Observations	8714	8714

* p < 0.1, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01.

Kiel University

Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel

Overview O	Experiment 1 000	Works Councils 000	Robustness Checks 00●	Further Experiment 2	Heterogeneity 00000	Follow-Up: LMC 00
						_
Appen	dix 7 - We	ighting 💶	ack to Presentation > Ba	ack to Appendix	C A U Kiel University	ts-Universität zu Kiel

	(1)	(2)	(3)
Union	-0.0753*** (0.0075)	-0.0756*** (0.0077)	-0.0831*** (0.0088)
Average Callback Rate	0.447	0.431	0.417
Observations	8714	8714	8714
Adjusted R^2	0.087	0.088	0.089
Controls and Weighting			
Linear Probability Model	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Baseline Controls	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Vacancy Characteristics	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Occupation Weights		\checkmark	
Regional Employment Weights			\checkmark

Table: Sensitivity to Weighting - Callbacks 2

* p < 0.1, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01.



Email applications only varied in the existence of a social media account.

Baseline:

• Standard application.

Treatments:

- Union membership in CV.
- Twitter account.
- Pro-union sentiment via Twitter account.



Follow-Up: LMC



Kiel University Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel

Bewerbung als

Sehr geehrte

Tobias



Figure: Without Twitter Account

Bewerbung als

Sehr geehrte

ander übersende ich ihnen meine Bewerbungsunterlagen für die von ihnen ausgeschriebene Volleitstelle als die mit der derferenzummer die hen beitenzum onch bestehendes Arbeitsverhältnis, wäre es mir möglich die neue Stelle zum 01 Dier der Möglichkeit Sie in einem participen erhalten Sie zusammengefasst in dem beigefügten PDF Dokument. Über die Möglichkeit Sie in einem participen erhalten Gespräch von meiner Person überzeugen zu dürfen, würde ich mich sehr freuen.





Figure: With Twitter Account



Figure: Control Group

Figure: Treatment

Experiment 1 Works Councils Robustness Checks

Appendix 9 - Social Media Experiment

Further Experiment 2

Back to Appendix

terogeneity

Follow-Up: LMC

CAU

Kiel University Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel

	No Co	ontrols	All Controls		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
Union in CV	-0.0639^{**}	-0.0608^{**}	-0.0665^{**}	-0.0629^{**}	
	(0.0264)	(0.0286)	(0.0261)	(0.0284)	
Union in Twitter	-0.0342** (0.0157)	-0.0370** (0.0169)	-0.0346** (0.0156)	-0.0377** (0.0167)	
Twitter	-0.0001 (0.0223)	0.0100 (0.0237)	-0.0024 (0.0221)	0.0095 (0.0236)	
Callback Rate Control Group	0.311	0.413	0.311	0.413	
Observations	4531	4531	4531	4531	
Adjusted R^2	0.002	0.002	0.014	0.018	
Controls					
Basic Callbacks		\checkmark		\checkmark	
Strict Callbacks	\checkmark		\checkmark		
Collective Agreement			\checkmark	\checkmark	
Contract Type			 Image: A set of the set of the	 Image: A set of the set of the	
Immediately Hiring			~	~	
Firmsize			~	~	
Federal State			√	√	

Table: Treatment Effect by Design

* p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01.













Conventional economic theory predicts a reduction in discrimination with increasing labor market tightness.

- Search and matching theory (Mortensen and Pissarides, 1999; Pissarides, 2000).
- Ranking model (Blanchard and Diamond).

This is supported by empirical evidence for ethnic discrimination:

- Correspondence experiments: Baert et al. (2015).
- Job platform data: Hangartner et al. (Mimeo).

Increasing labor market tightness also improve unions bargaining power.

• We could therefore also expect increasing hiring discrimination.



- Send pairs of fictitious job applications to real vacancies.
- Monitor the callback rates of firms.

The experiment was carried out between August and November 2020.

The main sample consists of 5004 applications (2502 firms).

Regions	2020	Occupations	2020
Berlin	х	Office Clerk	х
North Rhine-Westphalia	х	Hotel Manager	Х
Hamburg	Х	Logistic Worker	Х
Bavaria	Х	Sales Manager	Х
Saxony	Х	Mechatronic Technician	Х
Baden-Wurttemberg	Х		

Table: Overview of the Experimental Design



- Send pairs of fictitious job applications to real vacancies.
- Monitor the callback rates of firms.

The experiment was carried out between August and November 2020.

The main sample consists of 5004 applications (2502 firms).

	Nüß (2022)		New Paper	Occupations	Nüß (2022)		New Paper		
Regions	2017	2018	2019	2020	Occupations	2017	2018	2019	2020
Berlin	х	х	х	х	Office Clerk	х	х	х	х
North Rhine-Westphalia	Х	Х	х	Х	Hotel Manager	х	Х	Х	Х
Hamburg	Х	Х	х	Х	Logistic Worker	х	Х	Х	Х
Bavaria	Х	х	Х	Х	Sales Manager	Х	х	Х	Х
Saxony		х	Х	Х	Mechatronic Technician		х	Х	Х
Baden-Wurttemberg		Х	Х	Х					

Table: Overview of the Experimental Design

Appendix 14 - First Results • Back to Appendix

Works Councils

Callback Definitions

Experiment 1

- Category 1 when it is a clear invitation to a job interview.
- Category 2 when firms asked for further information.





Further Experiment 2

Kiel University Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel

Follow-Up: LMC