Financial Market Structure and the Supply of Safe Assets: An Analysis of the Leveraged Loan Market

David Xiaoyu Xu

Southern Methodist Universtiy

August 25, 2022

EEA-ESEM

Leveraged Loans and CLOs



- Leveraged loans: speculative-grade corporate loans
- Collateralized loan obligations (CLOs)
 - $\hfill\square$ Create AAA securities backed by dynamic portfolios of leveraged loans
 - $\hfill\square$ Coexist and trade loans with mutual funds and hedge funds

► Static portfolio: size of safe tranche limited by the quality of risky collateral

 $\hfill\square$ Loans may deteriorate in bad times (e.g., the Financial Crisis)

Loans	D
	E

Static portfolio: size of safe tranche limited by the quality of risky collateral
Loans may deteriorate in bad times (e.g., the Financial Crisis)



 $\diamond\,$ Senior tranche is not safe if it is too big

Static portfolio: size of safe tranche limited by the quality of risky collateral
Loans may deteriorate in bad times (e.g., the Financial Crisis)



- $\diamond\,$ Senior tranche is not safe if it is too big
- $\diamond~$ Improvement: replace bad loans with good loans
 - \star Portfolio's cash flow uncertainty \downarrow
- $\diamond\,$ Ex ante: commitment \Rightarrow a bigger safe tranche
- $\diamond~$ Equity holders enjoy a lower cost of capital

Static portfolio: size of safe tranche limited by the quality of risky collateral
Loans may deteriorate in bad times (e.g., the Financial Crisis)



- ◊ Senior tranche is not safe if it is too big
- $\diamond\,$ Improvement: replace bad loans with good loans
 - \star Portfolio's cash flow uncertainty \downarrow
- $\diamond\,$ Ex ante: commitment \Rightarrow a bigger safe tranche
- $\diamond~$ Equity holders enjoy a lower cost of capital

- ► In practice, CLOs
 - $\hfill\square$ Have covenants that allow managers to commit
 - $\hfill\square$ Coexist and trade with non-securitized funds, e.g., mutual funds and hedge funds
- ▶ Size of AAA tranche depends on secondary market prices

Research Questions

1. Supply of safe assets?

- ◊ Market structure and safe asset production
- $\diamond\,$ Supply at the individual level and in aggregate

2. Is the equilibrium socially efficient?

- $\diamond~$ Quantities of risky loans and safe assets
- $\diamond\,$ Who create safe assets, and who trade as counterparties?

3. Effects of a controversial regulation?

♦ Shed light on Credit Risk Retention Rule (2014–2018)

Model

Equilibrium Characterization

Welfare

Investors and Intermediaries

▶ $t \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, state $\omega \in \Omega = \{good, bad, disaster\}$ at t = 2

- Investor utility: $U = C_0 + \mathbb{E}_0[C_1 + C_2] + \gamma A$
 - $\hfill\square$ A : safe assets, which pay at t=2 with certainly
 - $\hfill\square$ $\gamma:$ non-pecuniary benefit from holding safe assets
 - $\hfill\square$ Endowed with perishable goods at t=0, cannot lend to firms
- ▶ A continuum of risk-neutral asset managers: $\mathcal{I} = [0, 1]$
 - $\hfill\square$ Each operates an intermediary
 - ◊ Flexible capital structure: can issue any equity and debt securities
 - \square Ex-ante identical except for safe debt issuance cost ξ_i
- Investors take securities prices as given

Investment Technology

▶ Intermediary *i* originates x_i risky loans at a convex cost $c(x_i)$ at t = 0

▶ Two loan quality types $j \in \{h, l\}$



▶ Loan quality: $\tilde{x}_{i,l}$ become type l, iid drawn from $[0, \bar{x}_l]$

 \Box Key concern: which loans deteriorate is unknown at t = 0

▶ Manager can credibly promise a_i ≤ min {portfolio payoff} by trading at t = 1
□ Endogenous prices q_l, q_h affect collateral constraints

Secondary Market Trades at t = 1

▶ Negative news: binding constraints trigger trades to increase min {payoff}



Lemma 1

 $rac{q_l}{q_h} < {\it ratio of fundamentals}.$

- Trades generate price pressure on $\frac{q_l}{q_h}$
- Pecuniary externality: issuing safe debt
 - $\hfill\square$ Makes selling l and buying h costly, and the opposite profitable
 - $\hfill\square$ Tightens others' collateral constraints: safe debt capacity decreases



Equilibrium Characterization

Welfare

Proposition 1 (Competitive Equilibrium)

There is a unique equilibrium with cutoff $\lambda^{CE} \in (0,1)$ such that: $i < \lambda^{CE}$ issues maximal safe debt, and $i > \lambda^{CE}$ issues only equity.



Corollary 1.1 (Supply of Safe Assets)

The market structure produces a greater supply of safe assets than static securitization: $A^{CE} > A^{STA}$.





Equilibrium Characterization

Welfare

Proposition 2 (Constrained Inefficiency)

There market has excessive entry into operating CLOs ($\lambda^{CE} > \lambda^{SP}$), underinvestment by non-securitized lenders, and an underproduction of safe assets ($A^{CE} < A^{SP}$).





Equilibrium Characterization

Welfare

A Controversial Regulation

- ► Credit Risk Retention Rule (2014)
 - □ Requires asset managers to contribute 5% of capital to the CLOs they operate
- ► Resistance from asset managers
 - □ Main complaint: imposes a large cost on CLO managers
- ▶ Practitioners won a lawsuit against the Fed and SEC
 - $\hfill\square$ And they won in 2018: CLO managers got exempted from the rule
 - \hfill under debate over whether the policy should be re-imposed in the US market

Unintended Consequence of Policy Intervention

Proposition 3 (Equilibrium under an Entry Cost Policy)

Imposing an entry cost on issuing safe debt exacerbates the underproduction of safe assets.



Takeaways

> Dynamic collateral management increases intermediary safe debt capacity

- ▶ Market structure: two groups of intermediaries coexist
 - □ Safe debt financed ("CLOs") and equity financed ("mutual funds")
 - □ Can increase the supply of safe assets
- ▶ However, the market suffers from an inefficiency
 - Decuniary externality: nobody internalizes influence on loan prices
 - $\hfill\square$ Simple policy intervention can make things even worse
- ▶ Policymakers should carefully consider equilibrium effects